

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q/A
(Amendment No. 1)**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended **December 31, 2015**

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission File No. **000-55364**

HELIUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Wyoming
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

36-4787690
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

Suite 400, 41 University Drive
Newtown, Pennsylvania, 18940
(Address of principal executive office) (Zip Code)

(215) 809-2018
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class
Class A Common Stock

Outstanding at April 26, 2016
81,408,209

Explanatory Note

Heliuss Medical Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") is filing this amendment on Form 10-Q/A (this "Amendment") to amend its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the nine months ended December 31, 2015, as filed on February 16, 2016 (the "Original Filing"), to restate its interim condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the nine months ended December 31, 2015, as a result of an error in the classification of warrants issued in private placements conducted in April, June, and July of 2015. The Company previously recorded the issuance of warrants in private placements conducted in April, June, and July of 2015 as equity instruments instead of as liabilities. The warrant exercise prices are denominated in U.S. dollars whereas the functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar; as such, the settlement of the warrants fails the fixed for fixed criteria of ASC 815 and they are required to be recorded as a liability at their fair value on inception. The warrant liability is required to be re-measured at its fair value on each reporting date with the changes in fair value recorded in the Company's Statement of Comprehensive Loss. See Note 13 "Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements" to the Company's restated interim condensed consolidated financial statements contained in this Amendment.

In connection with the Original Filing, under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, our management evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of December 31, 2015. Subsequently, the Company's management has determined that the improper design of controls with respect to the calculation of the fair value of the Company's warrants was a deficiency in its internal control over financial reporting resulting from the material weakness identified at December 31, 2015.

Except as required to reflect the effects of the corrections for the items above and certain note disclosures, no additional modifications or updates have been made to the Original Filing and are set forth in this Amendment. Information not affected by these corrections remains unchanged and reflects the disclosure made at the time of the Original Filing. This Amendment does not describe other events occurring after the Original Filing, including exhibits, or modify or update those disclosures affected by subsequent events. This Amendment should be read in conjunction with the Company's filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission subsequent to the filing of the Original Filing, as information in such reports and documents may update or supersede certain information contained in this Amendment.

The certifications of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are attached to this Amendment as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2, and 32.1 respectively.

INDEX

Part I.	<u>Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>2</u>
	<u>Interim Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 (Unaudited) and March 31, 2015</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Interim Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Interim Condensed Consolidated Statement of Capital Deficit for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Interim Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>28</u>
Part II.	<u>Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>49</u>

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

HELIUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
December 31, 2015
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in United States Dollars)

Helius Medical Technologies, Inc.
Interim Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in United States Dollars)

	December 31, 2015	March 31, 2015
	(Restated – Note 12)	(audited)
	\$	\$
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	4,350,350	418,893
Short-term investment	-	378,000
Receivables	121,586	8,833
Prepaid expenses	783,562	410,621
Total current assets	5,255,498	1,216,347
TOTAL ASSETS	5,255,498	1,216,347
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,413,579	1,197,804
Obligation to issue shares and warrants (Note 7)	5,000,000	-
Total current liabilities	6,413,579	1,197,804
Derivative liability (Note 2)	898,128	1,581,444
TOTAL LIABILITIES	7,311,707	2,779,248
CAPITAL DEFICIT		
Common stock (Unlimited Class A common shares authorized); (66,637,653 shares outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 63,104,788 shares outstanding at March 31, 2015) (Note 5)		
	20,125,864	16,358,093
Additional paid-in capital	2,155,199	2,434,552
Shares to be issued	-	39,545
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(1,862,329)	(971,640)
Accumulated deficit	(22,474,943)	(19,423,451)
TOTAL CAPITAL DEFICIT	(2,056,209)	(1,562,901)
TOTAL LIABILITIES & CAPITAL DEFICIT	5,255,498	1,216,347

"Philippe Deschamps " Director

"Savio Chiu " Director

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.)

Helius Medical Technologies Inc.
Interim Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss
for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in United States Dollars)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2015 (Restated – Note 13) \$	2014 (Restated – Note 12) \$	2015 (Restated – Note 13) \$	2014 (Restated – Note 12) \$
Operating Expenses				
Advertising, marketing & investor relations	161,594	175,325	710,175	579,507
Audit & accounting	36,976	4,457	141,176	45,938
Consulting fees (Note 6)	59,504	901,190	140,498	1,167,543
Insurance	30,018	22,287	90,022	52,060
Legal fees	761,752	500,028	1,260,798	1,064,453
Meals & travel	118,155	102,098	245,825	209,150
Office & general	30,369	45,466	83,133	163,762
Research & development	1,291,605	1,191,806	2,664,063	3,196,346
Transfer agent & regulatory	35,635	17,242	84,587	76,215
Wages and salaries	315,049	132,119	981,827	684,375
Loss from operations	(2,840,657)	(3,092,018)	(6,402,104)	(7,239,349)
Other items				
Interest and accretion expense (Note 3)	(26,108)	-	(26,108)	(176,488)
Interest and other income	122,101	9,415	149,849	20,036
Change in fair value of derivative liability (Note 2)	(293,698)	(76,536)	2,113,391	(670,790)
Foreign exchange	337,593	680,578	845,146	267,950
Gain on extinguishment of debt (Note 7)	268,334	-	268,334	-
	408,222	613,457	3,350,612	(559,292)
Net loss for the period	(2,432,435)	(2,478,561)	(3,051,492)	(7,798,641)
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Translation adjustments	(363,796)	(707,875)	(890,689)	(395,030)
Comprehensive loss for the period	(2,796,231)	(3,186,436)	(3,942,181)	(8,193,671)
Net loss per share				
Basic	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.14)
Diluted	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.14)
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	64,958,069	63,104,788	64,646,096	55,066,317
Diluted	64,958,069	63,104,788	65,180,918	55,066,317

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.)

Helius Medical Technologies Inc.
Interim Condensed Consolidated Statements of Capital Deficit
for the nine months ended December 31, 2015
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in United States Dollars)

	Common Stock	Amount (Restated – Note 13) \$	Additional Paid-In Capital (Restated – Note 13) \$	Shares to be Issued \$	Accumulated Deficit (Restated – Note 13) \$	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) \$	Capital (Deficit) (Restated – Note 13) \$
Balance – March 31, 2015	63,104,788	16,358,093	2,434,552	39,545	(19,423,451)	(971,640)	(1,562,901)
Exercise of finder's warrants	14,400	11,926	-	-	-	-	11,926
Issuance of common stock for private placement	849,273	1,465,524	-	-	-	-	1,465,524
Issuance of common stock for private placement	335,463	585,702	-	(39,545)	-	-	546,157
Issuance of common stock for private placement	125,756	233,806	-	-	-	-	233,806
Stock option exercise	94,640	42,500	-	-	-	-	42,500
Fair value of options exercised	-	20,454	(20,454)	-	-	-	-
Issuance of common stock as bonus shares	30,000	23,959	-	-	-	-	23,959
Issuance of common stock for convertible note	2,083,333	1,525,000	-	-	-	-	1,525,000
Issuance of common stock for convertible credit facility	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Share issuance cost	-	(141,100)	-	-	-	-	(141,100)
Stock-based compensation on 3,370,000 options granted	-	-	(84,550)	-	-	-	(84,550)
Stock-based compensation on 400,000 options granted	-	-	167,417	-	-	-	167,417
Stock-based compensation on 100,000 options granted	-	-	28,681	-	-	-	28,681
Stock-based compensation on 100,000 options granted	-	-	28,440	-	-	-	28,440
Stock-based compensation on 50,000 options granted	-	-	6,880	-	-	-	6,880
Stock-based compensation on 750,000 options granted	-	-	66,625	-	-	-	66,625
Stock-based compensation on 950,000 options granted	-	-	206,461	-	-	-	206,461
Stock-based compensation on 100,000 options granted	-	-	12,032	-	-	-	12,032
Fair value of non-employee vested options reallocated to derivative liability	-	-	(690,885)	-	-	-	(690,885)
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	-	(3,051,492)	-	(3,051,492)
Translation adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	(890,689)	(890,689)
Balance – December 31, 2015 (Restated – Note 13)	66,637,653	20,125,864	2,155,199	-	(22,474,943)	(1,862,329)	(2,056,209)

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.)

Helius Medical Technologies, Inc.
Interim Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014
(Unaudited)
(Expressed in United States Dollars)

	December 31, 2015 \$ (Restated – Note 13)	December 31, 2014 \$ (Restated – Note 12)
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss for the period	(3,051,492)	(7,798,641)
Items not involving cash:		
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(2,113,391)	670,790
Accretion	23,959	176,488
Stock-based compensation	431,986	1,970,345
Gain on extinguishment of debt	(268,334)	-
Changes in non-cash working capital items:		
Receivables	(119,567)	(2,035)
Accounts Payable	128,457	975,694
Prepaid expenses	(384,629)	(150,364)
Foreign exchange re-measurement	(901,518)	(222,244)
	-	-
Net cash used in operating activities	(6,254,529)	(4,379,967)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Short term investment	378,000	-
Net cash provided by investing activities	378,000	-
Cash flows from financing activities		
Issuance of share capital	2,299,913	7,017,009
Issuance of warrants	532,523	-
Share issue costs	(141,100)	(379,806)
Convertible debenture and credit facility proceeds	7,000,000	633,195
Net cash provided by financing activities	9,691,336	7,270,398
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	116,650	-
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	3,931,457	2,890,431
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	418,893	15,968
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	4,350,350	2,906,399
Supplemental cash flow information		
Interest paid in cash	\$ 1,644	\$ 11,144
Income taxes paid in cash	-	-

(The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements of Helius Medical Technologies Inc. (the “Company”) have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 in the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on June 29, 2015, and as subsequently amended and refiled on January 11, 2016. The unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements contain all normal recurring accruals and adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2015, and the consolidated results of operations for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, and consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2015. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. It should be understood that accounting measures at interim dates inherently involve greater reliance on estimates than at year end. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or any future interim periods.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation and Liquidity

The Company has incurred a net loss of \$3,051,492 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and, as of December 31, 2015, the Company has an accumulated deficit of \$22,474,943 (March 31, 2015 - \$19,423,451). Until the Company generates a level of revenue to support its cost structure, the Company expects to continue to incur substantial operating losses and net cash outflows. While the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$4,350,350 as of December 31, 2015 (March 31, 2015 - \$418,893), management does not believe these resources will be sufficient to meet the Company’s operating and capital needs for the ensuing fiscal year.

The Company intends to fund ongoing activities by utilizing current cash and cash equivalents and by raising additional capital through equity or debt financings. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital or that such capital, if available, will be on terms that are acceptable to the Company. If the Company is unable to raise sufficient additional capital, the Company may be compelled to reduce the scope of its operations and planned capital expenditure or sell certain assets, including intellectual property assets. This material uncertainty gives rise to substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

The Company’s financial instruments consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and an obligation to issue shares and warrants. The book values of these instruments approximate their fair values due to the immediate or short-term nature of those instruments.

ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the level of independent, objective evidence surrounding the inputs used to measure fair value. A financial instrument’s categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. ASC 820 prioritizes the inputs into three levels that may be used to measure fair value;

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable; and

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing.

Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investment are measured using Level 1 inputs.

The Company had certain Level 3 liabilities required to be recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with US GAAP as at December 31, 2015. As at December 31, 2015, the Company's Level 3 liabilities consisted of warrants and share purchase options awarded to non-employees. The resulting Level 3 liabilities have no active market and are required to be measured at their fair value each reporting period based on information that is unobservable.

A summary of the Company's Level 3 liabilities for the periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

Non-Employee Options

	Nine months ended December 31, 2015	Nine months ended December 31, 2014
	\$	\$
Beginning fair value	1,581,444	-
Issuance of warrants and non-employee options	-	767,879
Reallocation of vested non-employee options	690,885	42,227
Change in fair value	(1,725,520)	670,790
Ending fair value of non-employee options	546,809	1,480,896

Embedded Conversion feature

	Nine months ended December 31, 2015	Nine months ended December 31, 2014
	\$	\$
Beginning fair value	-	-
Bifurcation of embedded conversion feature	425,208	-
Settlement of convertible debt	(425,208)	-
Ending fair value of embedded conversion feature	-	-

Warrants

Beginning fair value	-	-
Issuance of warrants	739,190	-
Change in fair value	(387,871)	-
Ending fair value of warrants	351,319	-
Ending fair value of Level 3 liability	898,128	1,480,896

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments only in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). There were no assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the periods ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Basic and Diluted Income (Loss) per Share

Earnings or loss per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted-average of all potentially dilutive shares of common stock that were outstanding during the periods presented. The number of shares potentially issuable at December 31, 2015 upon the exercise or conversion of share purchase warrants, share purchase options and conversion of convertible debentures totaled 19,633,969.

The treasury stock method is used in calculating diluted EPS for potentially dilutive stock options and share purchase warrants, which assumes that any proceeds received from the exercise of in-the-money stock options and share purchase warrants, would be used to purchase common shares at the average market price for the period.

EPS for convertible debt is calculated under the "if-converted" method. Under the if converted method, EPS is calculated as the more dilutive of EPS (i) including all interest (both cash interest and non-cash discount amortization) and excluding all shares underlying the convertible debt or; (ii) excluding all interest and costs directly related to the convertible debt (both cash interest and non-cash discount amortization) and including all shares underlying the convertible debt.

The basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were calculated as follows:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	December 31, 2015 (Restated – Note 13)	December 31, 2014 (Restated – Note 12)	December 31, 2015 (Restated – Note 13)	December 31, 2014 (Restated – Note 12)
Basic Numerator				
Net loss for the period	\$ (2,432,435)	\$ (2,478,561)	\$ (3,051,492)	\$ (7,798,641)
Denominator				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	64,958,069	63,104,788	64,646,096	55,066,317
Basic net loss per share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.14)
Diluted Numerator				
Net loss for diluted income per share	\$ (2,432,435)	\$ (2,478,561)	\$ (3,051,492)	\$ (7,798,641)
Gain in fair value of options	-	-	(1,094,449)	-
Loss available to common stockholders	\$ (2,432,435)	\$ (2,478,561)	\$ (4,145,941)	\$ (7,798,641)
Denominator				
Weighted average common shares outstanding	64,958,069	63,104,788	64,646,096	55,066,317
Potential share issuances				
Common share options	-	-	534,822	-
Common share warrants	-	-	-	-
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in computing diluted earnings per share	64,958,069	63,104,788	65,180,918	55,066,317
Diluted earnings per share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.14)

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period ("ASU 2014-12"). ASU 2014-12 requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. This update further clarifies that compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern ("ASU 2014-15"). ASU 2014-15 will explicitly require management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosure in certain circumstances. The new standard will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In May, 2014, the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued a converged standard on revenue recognition from contracts with customers, ASU 2014-09 (Topic 606 and IFRS 15). This standard will supersede nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-03 Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30). This guidance is to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs by recognizing a debt liability in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from that debt liability consistent with the presentation of a debt discount. The amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on its financial statements.

3. CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURE

On February 19, 2014, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement where the Company agreed to sell and issue a note with annual simple interest at 8% (the “Debenture”). A total of \$1,000,100 in principal had been received.

On June 13, 2014, the Debenture matured on the closing of the Company’s qualified financing. Upon completion of the qualified financing, the Debenture automatically converted into equity securities of the Company at a price per share equal to 85% of the price per share of the qualified financing. The conversion option of the Debenture was accounted for as a contingent beneficial conversion feature valued at \$176,488 which was recorded as interest expense in the Statement of Comprehensive Loss on settlement of the contingency.

4. PROMISSORY NOTE

On September 8, 2015, the Company received \$200,000 in exchange for the issuance of a promissory note (the “Promissory Note”). The Promissory Note was to be repaid six months from the date of issuance with interest accruing at the rate of 6% per annum for the first three months and 10% per annum thereafter. In addition, the lender was entitled to receive 30,000 common shares of the Company on the date of the Promissory Note (the “Bonus Shares”) and an additional 30,000 common shares every three months thereafter as long as the principal of the loan remained outstanding. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the Company issued the lender 30,000 Bonus Shares valued at \$23,959 based on their quoted market to the lender. This amount was recorded as a debt discount of the Promissory Note at issuance and was being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the Promissory Note.

On October 28, 2015, the Company repaid the Promissory Note in its entirety, along with accrued interest of \$1,644. The remaining debt discount was immediately recorded as interest expense on the date of repayment.

5. COMMON STOCK

On April 30, 2015 the Company closed a non-brokered private placement (the “First Financing”) raising gross proceeds of \$1,825,937 by the issuance of 849,273 units (each a “First Financing Unit”) at a price of \$2.15 per First Financing Unit. Each First Financing Unit consists of one (1) common share and one half of one (1/2) common share purchase warrant (each a “First Financing Warrant”). Each whole First Financing Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$3.00 per share for a period of thirty-six (36) months from the closing date of the Financing. The Company paid a cash finder’s fee of \$84,074 in connection with this First Financing, as well as 27,396 finder’s warrants (the “First Financing Finder’s Warrants”). Each First Financing Finder’s Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$3.00 per share for a period of thirty-six (36) months from the closing date of the First Financing.

On June 26, 2015 the Company closed a non-brokered private placement (the “Second Financing”) raising gross proceeds of \$721,243 by the issuance of 335,463 units (each a “Second Financing Unit”) at a price of \$2.15 per Second Financing Unit. Each Second Financing Unit consists of one (1) common share and one half of one (1/2) common share purchase warrant (each a “Second Financing Warrant”). Each whole Second Financing Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$3.00 per share for a period of thirty-six (36) months from the closing date of the Second Financing. The Company paid a cash finder’s fee of \$40,803 in connection with this Second Financing, as well as 18,978 finder’s warrants (the “Second Financing Finder’s Warrants”). Each Second Financing Finder’s Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$2.15 per share for a period of sixty (60) months from the closing date of the Second Financing.

On July 17, 2015 the Company closed a non-brokered private placement (the “Third Financing”) raising gross proceeds of \$270,375 by the issuance of 125,756 units (each a “Third Financing Unit”) at a price of \$2.15 per Third Financing Unit. Each Third Financing Unit consists of one (1) common share and one half of one (1/2) common share purchase warrant (each a “Third Financing Warrant”). Each whole Third Financing Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$3.00 per share for a period of thirty-six (36) months from the closing date of the Third Financing. The Company paid a cash finder’s fee of \$16,223 in connection with this Third Financing, as well as 7,545 finder’s warrants (the “Third Financing Finder’s Warrants”). Each Third Financing Finder’s Warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$2.15 per share for a period of sixty (60) months from the closing date of the Third Financing.

On October 9, 2015, in connection with an Asset Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a US\$7.0 million funding commitment with A&B Company Limited (“A&B”) in the form of a convertible promissory note. The funding commitment consisted of (i) an initial \$2.0 million and (ii) an additional \$5.0 million funding commitment, upon which the Company could draw down at any time or from time to time during the six-month period beginning on the issuance date of the convertible promissory note. The convertible promissory note was convertible at the option of the holder into units consisting of one share of common stock and one half share purchase warrant of the Company.

See Note 7, “Convertible Note,” regarding the warrants issued in conjunction with the repayment of the note.

6. SHARE BASED PAYMENTS

(a) Stock options

The Company has a stock option plan whereby the Company is authorized to grant up to 12,108,016 options. Vesting and the term of an option is determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Company.

The continuity of stock options for the periods as at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, are as follows:

	Number	Weighted Average Exercise Price (CAD)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (CAD)
Balance outstanding at March 31, 2015	4,920,000	\$ 1.14	\$ 10,120,000
Exercised	(94,640)	\$ 0.60	-
Granted	1,850,000	0.88	-
Balance outstanding at December 31, 2015	6,675,360	\$ 1.08	\$ 2,588,702
Balance exercisable at December 31, 2015	4,272,279	\$ 1.16	\$ 1,637,490

The options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2015 are as follows:

Number of options	Expiry date	Options outstanding remaining contractual life (years)	Exercise Price (CAD)	Grant date fair value (CAD)	Number of options exercisable
3,520,000	June 18, 2019	3.46	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.23	2,346,667
155,360	June 20, 2019	3.46	\$ 0.60	\$ 0.23	124,110
100,000	July 14, 2017	1.54	\$ 2.52	\$ 1.06	100,000
450,000	December 8, 2019	3.94	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.65	450,000
100,000	December 8, 2019	3.94	\$ 2.92	\$ 1.49	66,667
400,000	December 8, 2019	3.94	\$ 2.96	\$ 1.56	300,000
100,000	March 16, 2020	4.21	\$ 3.20	\$ 1.61	33,334
50,000	August 15, 2015	4.62	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.39	16,667
750,000	October 21, 2020	4.81	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.33	187,500
550,000	October 28, 2020	4.83	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.44	550,000
400,000	October 28, 2020	4.83	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.36	64,000
100,000	December 31, 2020	5.00	\$ 1.24	\$ 0.50	33,334
6,675,360					4,272,279

The fair value of stock options granted during the periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Stock price	\$0.822	\$1.33
Exercise Price	\$0.878	\$1.10
Expected life	3.6 years	3.9 years
Expected volatility	67.85%	67.85%
Risk – free interest rate	0.84%	1.32%
Dividend rate	0.00%	0.00%

The Company has adopted the simplified method prescribed by the SEC in SAB Topic 14 in respect of estimating the expected term of its stock options as its limited share purchase option history does not provide a reasonable basis to estimate the expected terms. Expected volatility was determined by reference to the average volatility rates of other companies in the same industry due to the Company's limited trading history.

Non-Employee Stock Options

In accordance with the guidance of ASC 815-40-15, stock options awarded to non-employees that are performing services for Neurohabilitation Corporation ("NHC") are required to be accounted for as derivative liabilities once the services have been performed and the options have vested because they are considered not to be indexed to the Company's stock due to their exercise price being denominated in a currency other than NHC's functional currency. Stock options awarded to non-employees that are not vested are re-measured at their respective fair values at each reporting period and accounted for as equity awards until the terms associated with their vesting requirements have been met. The changes in fair value of the unvested non-employee awards are reflected in their respective operating expense classification in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss).

The non-employee stock options and warrants that are required to be accounted for as liabilities are summarized as follows for the periods ended December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:

	Nine months ended December 31, 2015 \$	Nine months ended December 31, 2014 \$
Fair value of non-employee options, beginning of the period	1,581,444	-
Issuance	-	767,879
Reallocation of vested non-employee options	690,885	42,227
Change in fair value of non-employee stock options during the period	(725,520)	739,375
Fair value of non-employee options, end of the period	1,546,809	1,549,481

The non-employee options that have vested are required to be re-valued with the change in fair value of the liability recorded as a gain or loss on the change of fair value of derivative liability and included in other items in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Loss at the end of each reporting period. The fair value of the options will continue to be classified as a liability until such time as they are exercised, expire or there is an amendment to the respective agreements that renders these financial instruments to be no longer classified as a liability.

Share-based payments are classified in the Company's Statement of Loss as follows for the period ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Three months ended December 31, 2015 \$	Nine months ended December 31, 2015 \$	Three months ended December 31, 2014 \$	Nine months ended December 31, 2014 \$
Consulting fees	(36,300)	(45,199)	871,269	1,033,200
Research and development	315,031	57,550	239,463	578,120
Wages and salaries	52,737	419,635	(77,784)	359,025
	331,468	431,986	1,032,948	1,970,345

(b) Share Purchase Warrants

The continuity of warrants for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 is as follows:

	Number of warrants		Weighted Average Exercise Price	
	CAD	US	CAD \$	US \$
Balance March 31, 2015	8,444,400	-	\$1.00	-
Granted		1,750,831	-	2.06
Exercised	(14,400)	-	\$1.00	-
Balance December 31, 2015	8,430,000	1,750,831	\$1.00	2.06

The warrants outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2015 are as follows:

Number of warrants outstanding	Exercise Price	Expiry Date
8,430,000	CAD \$1.00	May 30, 2016
452,032	US \$3.00	April 30, 2018
167,731	US \$3.00	June 26, 2018
18,978	US \$2.15	June 26, 2020
62,878	US \$3.00	July 17, 2018
7,545	US \$2.15	July 17, 2020
1,041,667	US \$1.44	November 10, 2018

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the Company issued an aggregate of 1,750,831 common stock purchase warrants that are required to be accounted for as liabilities pursuant to ASC 815 because they are considered not to be indexed to the Company's stock due to their exercise price being denominated in a currency other than the Company's functional currency.

Pursuant to the guidance of ASC 815, warrants having an exercise price denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the Company are required to be accounted for as liabilities are accounted for at their respective fair values, with the change in fair value recorded on the consolidated statement of operations as other income.

The warrants having an exercise price denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the Company that are required to be accounted for as liabilities are summarized as follows for the periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Nine months ended December 31, 2015 \$	Nine months ended December 31, 2014 \$
Fair value of warrants, beginning of the period	-	-
Issuance	739,190	-
Change in fair value of warrants during the period	(387,871)	-
Fair value of warrants, end of the period	351,319	-

The fair value of the warrants issued during the periods ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Stock price	\$0.73	-
Exercise Price	\$1.44	-
Expected life	3.0 years	-
Expected volatility	67.85%	-
Risk – free interest rate	0.96%	-
Dividend rate	0.00%	-

The warrants are required to be re-valued with the change in fair value of the liability recorded as a gain or loss on the change of fair value of derivative liability and included in other items in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Loss at the end of each reporting period. The fair value of the warrants will continue to be classified as a liability until such time as they are exercised, expire or there is an amendment to the respective agreements that renders these financial instruments to be no longer classified as a liability.

7. CONVERTIBLE NOTE

On October 9, 2015, in connection with an Asset Purchase Agreement, the Company entered into a US\$7.0 million funding commitment with A&B Company Limited (“A&B”). The funding commitment consisted of (i) an initial \$2.0 million in the form of a convertible promissory note and (ii) an additional \$5.0 million funding commitment, upon which the Company could draw down at any time or from time to time during the six-month period beginning on the issuance date of the Note. The Note would accrue interest at a rate equal to 6% per annum, payable in cash on the due date of April 9, 2016.

The Company could elect to draw down the remaining \$5.0 million commitment within six months. Such additional funding would be through the issuance of additional shares and warrants at a price based on the volume weighted average closing price of the Company’s shares of common stock.

The Note was unsecured and the initial \$2 million commitment was convertible at the option of the holder into units of the Company at \$0.96 per unit. Each unit would consist of one share of common stock and one half share purchase warrant exercisable at \$1.44 for a period of three years from the date of issuance.

The Company could elect to draw down on the additional funding through the issuance of units of the Company at a price based on the volume weighted average closing price of the Company’s shares of common stock on the date the Company elects to draw down from the commitment (the “Draw Down Price”). Each unit would consist of one share of common stock of the Company and one half share purchase warrant. The warrant would be exercisable at the price representing a fifty percent (50%) premium to the Draw Down Price.

On December 29, 2015, the Company drew down the remaining \$5.0 million of the commitment at a price of \$0.90 per unit, with each unit consisting of one share of common stock and one half warrant exercisable at \$1.35 per share for a period of three years from the date of issuance. The shares were issued subsequent to December 31, 2015.

Pursuant to the guidance of ASC 815 Derivatives and Hedging, the Company determined that the conversion feature embedded in the \$2.0 million commitment under the Note was required to be bifurcated from the Note and accounted for as a liability because it was considered not to be indexed to the Company’s stock due to its exercise price being denominated in a currency other than the Company’s functional currency. Therefore, pursuant to the guidance of ASC 815-15, the Company allocated the proceeds from the issuance of the Note first to the fair value of the embedded conversion feature, with a corresponding discount allocated to the Note. The fair value of the embedded conversion feature was calculated using the Black Scholes pricing model using the following weighted average assumptions: Stock price - \$0.73; Exercise price - \$ 0.9877; Expected remaining life – 1.33 years; Volatility -103.64%; Risk free rate of return – 0.3677% . This resulted in a debt discount of \$425,208 in connection with the Note. This debt discount would be amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the Notes. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the Company did not record any accretion in respect of this discount, because the Note was immediately converted, as noted below.

As agreed, the Company repaid the \$2.0 million Note through the issuance of 2,083,333 shares of common stock at a price of \$0.96 per share and 1,041,667 warrants exercisable at \$1.44 for a period of three years from the date of issuance. The shares of common stock and the warrants were issued on November 10, 2015.

As a result of the bifurcation of the embedded conversion option, for accounting purposes, two instruments were considered outstanding and, upon exercise of the contractual conversion option, extinguishment accounting has been applied. Consequently, the shares issued pursuant to the conversion are recorded at their fair value on the date of issuance, determined with reference to their quoted market price on the date of conversion. The resulting difference between the fair value of the shares issued, less the fair value of the related conversion feature and the carrying value of the related debt, is recorded as a gain or loss on the consolidated statement of operations. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the Company recorded a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$268,334 in connection with the conversion of the Note.

On December 29, 2015, the Company received the remaining \$5.0 million commitment in accordance with the terms of this agreement. In exchange, the Company issued 5,555,556 common shares and 2,777,778 warrants exercisable at \$1.35 for a period of three years from the date of issuance. The shares and warrants were delivered to A&B on January 7, 2016. As a result, the balance of the \$5.0 million is reflected in the Company’s financial statements as an obligation to issue shares and warrants as at December 31, 2015.

The bifurcation of the embedded conversion feature in the Note was classified as a Level 3 liability with the changes in fair value summarized as follows:

	Nine months ended December 31, 2015	Nine months ended December 31, 2014
	\$	\$
Beginning fair value	-	-
Bifurcation of embedded conversion feature	425,208	-
Settlement of convertible debt	(425,208)	-
Ending fair value of embedded conversion feature	-	-

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

- (a) The Company entered into a license agreement with Advanced NeuroRehabilitation, LLC (“ANR”) for an exclusive right on ANR’s patent pending technology, claims and knowhow. In addition to the issuance of 16,035,026 shares, the Company agreed to pay a 4% royalty on net revenue on the sales of devices covered by the patent-pending technology and services related to the therapy or use of devices covered by the patent- pending technology.
- (b) On March 7, 2014, the Company entered into a commercial development-to-supply program with Ximedica where Ximedica will design, develop and produce PoNS™ product solution suitable for clinical trial and commercial sale. Under the program, the Company is responsible for ensuring the device is in compliance with relevant laws and regulations. The agreed budget for phase 1B of development is \$499,000; phase 2 is \$1,065,000; Phase 3 and 4 is \$1,389,000 and 2nd software development cycle is \$586,000, of which \$4,708,223 was expensed as research and development since inception to December 31, 2015. Invoices are to be issued monthly for work in progress. The Company can cancel the project at any time with a written notice at least 30 days prior to the intended date of cancellation. As of December 31, 2015, the Company recorded a prepaid expense of \$300,000 to Ximedica which will be applied at the end of the project. During the period ended December 31, 2015, the Company incurred charges of \$1,608,235 (December 31, 2014 - \$2,226,283) pursuant to this agreement.
- (c) On January 5, 2015, Wicab Inc. (“Wicab”) filed a complaint against us, NHC, our director Mitchell Tyler, and our former director Yuri Danilov, and ANR in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. The complaint contained various state and common law claims arising from Messrs. Danilov’s and Tyler’s prior employment with Wicab and our two issued patents for the PoNS™ device. The complaint alleged, among other things, that following their departure from Wicab, Messrs. Danilov and Tyler knowingly filed patent applications for and used ideas and inventions developed at Wicab in violation of various non-competition and confidentiality agreements, and that our two issued patents are therefore rightfully the property of Wicab. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of monetary damages, an injunction preventing us from using the ideas and inventions in the two patents, an order transferring ownership of the patents from us to Wicab, and recovery of costs and attorneys’ fees. The complaint was voluntarily dismissed without prejudice on January 14, 2015.

On October 12, 2015, the Company received a letter from Wicab alleging that the two issued patents were invalid in view of prior art cited in the letter, including scientific publications and patent applications, and that Paul Bach-y-Rita, Wicab’s founder, should have been named as an inventor on these two issued patents. Wicab indicated in the letter that it may file reexamination or inter partes review proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office to attempt to invalidate the claims in the two issued patents. Wicab also stated that it would consider an unspecified “business solution” to resolve this matter. On December 10, 2015, representatives of each of the Company and Wicab met to discuss the parameters of a potential settlement. There can be no guarantee that a settlement will be reached. In the event that a settlement with Wicab is not reached, Wicab may file reexamination or *inter partes* review proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office to challenge the validity of the two issued patents. If the Company receives an adverse decision from the U.S. Patent Office in connection with these proceedings, some or all of the claims in the two patents may be invalidated or otherwise impaired, which could prevent the Company from bringing an infringement suit against a future competitor for making use of the PoNS™ technology for neurorehabilitation, and could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, operating results and financial condition. Wicab may also take other actions against the Company, its assets, intellectual property rights, officers, directors, employees, agents or other persons or entities which may also have a material effect on the Company’s business, operating results and financial condition. The Company believes that the possibility of an economic outlay is remote.

- (d) On January 27, 2015 we received a demand letter containing allegations that we had entered into a consulting arrangement with the complainants and breached certain of its terms, and used certain intellectual property in the form of business and marketing plans allegedly prepared by the complainants, and seeking damages. On May 7, 2015, Mr. Rainier Maas and Dr. Jochen Scheld filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania seeking monetary damages in excess of \$225,000. On December 2, 2015 the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs for an amount of €57,000 which was subsequently paid on January 12, 2016. The parties have since executed the settlement agreement for the aforementioned amount and the case has been dismissed without prejudice.
- (e) Under our Strategic Agreement with A&B if we fail to obtain FDA clearance for commercialization of or otherwise fail to ensure that the PoNS™ device is available for purchase by the U.S. Government by December 31, 2017, we are subject to a US\$2,000,000 contract penalty payable to A&B.

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

For the three and nine month period ended December 31, 2015, the Company was a party to the following related party transactions:

During the three-month period ended December 31, 2015, \$295,848 (December 31, 2014 - \$63,524) was included in research & development expenses as the fair value of stock-based compensation attributed to the options granted to two directors and a consultant for consulting services rendered with respect to the design and development of the PoNS™ device. During the nine-month period ended December 31, 2015, \$38,367 (December 31, 2014 - \$351,878) was included in research & development expenses as the fair value of stock-based compensation attributed to the options granted to two directors and a consultant for consulting services rendered with respect to the design and development of the PoNS™ device.

During the three-month period ended December 31, 2015, (\$271,944) (December 31, 2014 – (\$388,800)) was included in wages & salaries expenses as the fair value of stock-based compensation attributed to the options granted to directors. During the nine-month period ended December 31, 2015, \$94,954 (December 31, 2014 - \$48,009) was included in wages & salaries expenses as the fair value of stock-based compensation attributed to the options granted to directors.

10. SOLE-SOURCE COST-SHARING AGREEMENT

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the Company entered into a sole source cost sharing contract executed with the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (“USAMRMC”). Under the terms of the contract, the USAMRMC will reimburse the Company up to a maximum of \$2,996,244 representing approximately 62% of the Company’s estimated costs for the registrational trial (“the trial”) investigating the safety and effectiveness of the portable neuromodulation stimulator for mild to moderate traumatic brain injury. The trial expires on December 31, 2016.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company has received a total of \$1,372,821 in respect of expenses reimbursed.

Under the terms of the agreement, the USAMRMC may terminate their obligation at any time with 30 days written notice.

11. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Investing and financing activities that do not have a direct impact on current cash flows are excluded from the statement of cash flows.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015;

- i) the Company issued 30,000 shares of common stock having a fair value of \$23,959 based on their quoted market price as a bonus in connection with the advance of a loan;
- ii) the Company issued 2,083,333 common shares having a fair value of \$1,525,000 based on their quoted market price upon the conversion of a convertible note payable in the amount of \$2,000,000. Also, in connection with this debt conversion, the Company also issued 1,041,667 share purchase warrants having a fair value of \$206,667 at their inception.
- iii) the Company reallocated \$690,885 from additional paid-in capital to derivative liability in respect of the fair value of non-employee share purchase options that had vested.

During the nine months ended December 31, 2014:

- i) the Company issued 2,564,705 common shares valued at \$1,000,100 based on the carrying value of the convertible debenture upon its conversion;
- ii) the Company recorded a beneficial conversion feature of \$176,488 in respect of a qualifying transaction recorded in connection with the convertible debenture;
- iii) The Company recorded a credit to additional paid-in capital of \$162,890 representing the carrying values of the net assets acquired in a reverse merger recapitalization transaction.

These transactions have been excluded from the statement of cash flows.

12. CORRECTION OF AN ERROR IN PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's previously issued financial statements have been restated to reflect the correction of an error in the re-measurement of non-employee stock option awards that had yet to vest. This restatement was announced in the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed on January 11, 2016.

Previously, the Company had recorded the stock-based compensation for the period ended December 31, 2014 based on the fair value of the awards on their respective grant dates. Under the provisions of ASC 505-50, the Company is required to measure stock-based compensation for non-employees at the earlier of the performance commitment date or the date that the services have been completed. A performance commitment date exists only when the counterparty has sufficient disincentive not to complete. Otherwise, the Company is required to re-measure unvested non-employee options at their respective fair values until the services have been completed or once the options have vested. Under the terms of the Company's stock option awards to non-employees, there were no performance disincentives. As a result the Company is required to re-measure its non-employee awards until they have vested. This also affects the calculation of the change in fair value of derivative liability which appears on the Company's statements of comprehensive income (loss).

The correction of the error is presented in the Company's interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2015 as follows:

	Three months ended December 31, 2014		
	As Originally Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Consulting fees	\$1,694,685	(\$793,495)	\$901,190
Research and development	\$952,343	\$239,463	\$1,191,806
Wages and salaries	\$603,492	(\$471,373)	\$132,119
Loss from operations	(\$4,117,423)	\$1,025,405	(\$3,092,018)
Interest and other income	\$2,845	\$6,570	\$9,415
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(\$55,589)	(\$20,947)	(\$76,536)
Foreign exchange	\$687,148	(\$6,570)	\$680,578
Net income (loss) for the period	\$(3,483,019)	\$1,004,458	\$(2,478,561)
Comprehensive income (loss) for the period	\$(4,190,894)	\$1,004,458	\$(3,186,436)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.06)	\$0.02	\$(0.04)

	Nine months ended December 31, 2014		
	As Originally Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Consulting fees	\$2,336,051	(\$1,168,508)	\$1,167,543
Research and development	\$2,668,529	\$527,817	\$3,196,346
Wages and salaries	\$1,253,494	(\$569,119)	\$684,375
Loss from operations	(\$8,449,159)	\$1,209,810	(\$7,239,349)
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(\$818,382)	\$147,592	(\$670,790)
Net loss for the period	\$(9,156,043)	\$1,357,402	\$(7,798,641)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$(9,551,073)	\$1,357,402	\$(8,193,671)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.17)	\$0.03	\$(0.14)

13. RESTATEMENT OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED AND RESTATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's previously issued and restated financial statements have been restated to reflect the correction of an error in the classification of the warrants issued in the First Financing and the Second Financing.

Previously, the Company had recorded the First Financing Warrants, First Financing Finders Warrants, Second Financing Warrants, and Second Financing Finders Warrants (collectively, the "Warrants") as equity instruments. Under the provisions of ASC 815-40-15, if the exercise price of an instrument is denominated in a currency other than the Company's functional currency, the instrument shall not be considered as indexed to the Company's own stock because it is exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Instead, the instrument should be recorded as a liability at fair value through profit or loss. The functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar but the exercise prices of the Warrants are denominated in U.S. dollars, so under ASC 815-40-15, the Warrants must be classified as liabilities at fair value through profit or loss. As a result, the Company is required to reclassify the fair value of the Warrants from equity to liability through profit or loss.

The correction of the error is presented in the Company's interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2015 as follows:

	Three months ended December 31, 2015		
	As reported after first restatement	Adjustment	As restated
Change in fair value of derivative liability	\$(261,802)	\$(31,896)	\$(293,698)
Net loss for the period	(\$2,400,539)	\$(31,896)	\$(2,432,435)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$(2,764,335)	\$(31,896)	\$(2,796,231)
Basic gain (loss) per share	(\$0.04)	\$-	(\$0.04)
Diluted gain (loss) per share	(\$0.04)	\$-	(\$0.04)

	Nine months ended December 31, 2015		
	As reported	Adjustment	As restated
Change in fair value of derivative liability	\$1,627,844	\$485,547	\$2,113,391
Net loss for the period	(\$3,537,039)	\$485,547	\$(3,051,492)
Comprehensive loss for the period	\$(4,427,728)	\$485,547	\$(3,942,181)
Basic gain (loss) per share	(\$0.05)	\$-	(\$0.05)
Diluted gain (loss) per share	(\$0.07)	\$0.01	(\$0.06)

	As at December 31, 2015		
	As reported after first restatement	Adjustment	As Restated
Derivative liability	\$830,378	\$67,750	\$898,128
Common stock	\$20,658,387	\$(532,523)	\$20,125,864
Additional paid-in capital	\$2,175,973	\$(20,774)	\$2,155,199
Accumulated deficit	\$(22,960,490)	\$485,547	\$(22,474,943)

There was no effect on cash flow for each of the periods and therefore there has been no restatement to the consolidated statements of cash flows.

In this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, unless otherwise specified, references to “we”, “us” or “our” mean Helius Medical Technologies, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, NeuroHabilitation Corporation, or NHC, and Helius Medical Technologies (Canada), Inc., unless the context otherwise requires. All financial information is stated in U.S. dollars unless otherwise specified. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including statements regarding our market, strategy, competition, capital needs, business plans and expectations. Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties regarding the success of our business plan, availability of funds, our ability to maintain and enforce our intellectual property rights, government regulations, operating costs, our ability to achieve significant revenues and other factors. Forward-looking statements are made, without limitation, in relation to operating plans, availability of funds and operating costs. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical facts may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may”, “will”, “should”, “expect”, “plan”, “intend”, “anticipate”, “believe”, “estimate”, “predict”, “potential” or “continue”, the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating these statements, you should consider various factors, including the risks outlined in this annual report. These factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statements. While these forward-looking statements, and any assumptions upon which they are based, are made in good faith, based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and reflect our current judgment regarding our business plans, our actual results will almost always vary, sometimes materially, from any estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions or other future performance suggested herein. We do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results, except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States.

Within this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, we reference information, statistics and estimates regarding the medical devices and healthcare industries. We have obtained this information from various independent third-party sources, including independent industry publications, reports by market research firms and other independent sources. This information involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and we have not independently verified the accuracy or completeness of this information. Some data and other information are also based on the good faith estimates of management, which are derived from our review of internal surveys and independent sources. We believe that these external sources and estimates are reliable but have not independently verified them. The industries in which we operate are subject to a high degree of uncertainty and risk due to a variety of factors, including those described in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, as amended and refiled with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on January 11, 2016, and in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in Part II of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. These and other factors could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in these publications and reports.

Restatement

This “Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” gives effect to the restatement of our interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the periods ending December 31, 2015. See Note 12 “Correction Of An Error In Previously Issued Financial Statements” and Note 13 “Restatement of Previously Issued and Restated Financial Statements” to the Company’s interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are a medical technology company focused on neurological wellness. We seek to develop, license or acquire unique and non-invasive platform technologies that amplify the brain’s ability to heal itself.

Our mission is to develop, license and acquire non-invasive treatments designed to help patients affected by neurological symptoms caused by disease or trauma. Applying the principles of neuroplasticity, our patented PoNS™ device induces Cranial Nerve Non Invasive Neuromodulation that utilizes the brain’s innate ability to achieve neuroplastic change to aid persons with neurological, cognitive, sensory, and motor disorders when combined with the rehabilitation process.

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations, financial condition and plan of operations should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2015. The discussion below contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth under “Item 1. Business – Business Uncertainties and Going Concern Risk” and elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, as amended and refiled with the SEC on January 11, 2016.

Results of Operations

Three and Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015 Compared to the Three and Nine Months Ended December 31, 2014

Revenues

During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we did not generate any revenues from the commercial sales of products or services.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses incurred during the three months ended December 31, 2015 were \$2,840,657 (December 31, 2014 - \$3,092,018) and \$6,402,104 during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$7,239,349). Significant changes and expenditures are outlined as follows:

- Advertising, marketing, and IR expenses were \$161,594 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$175,325) and \$710,175 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$579,507). The increase of \$130,668 between the nine-month periods relates to an increase in advertising and promotion expenses and investor relation consulting fees.
- Audit and accounting fees were \$36,976 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$4,457) and \$141,176 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$45,938). Audit and accounting fees increased by \$95,238 between the nine-month periods mainly due to the requirement to review and audit the Company's financial statements since it became a reporting issuer in the United States.
- Consulting fees were \$59,504 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$901,190) and \$140,498 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$1,167,543). The decrease over the three and nine month periods was mainly due to the initial expense recorded during the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2014, associated with the granting of options to consultants for providing services.
- Insurance expenses were \$30,018 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$22,287) and \$90,022 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$52,060). The increase over the three and nine month periods in insurance expenses was mainly due to the need for general liability, directors' and officers', and product insurance as the Company continues its research and development plans.
- Legal fees were \$761,752 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$500,028) and \$1,260,798 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$1,064,453). The significant increase in legal fees was primarily due to the increase in legal activity to ensure current and quality regulatory filings since becoming a public company in Canada and a reporting issuer in the United States. The development of our intellectual property and the commercialization of the PoNS™ device is still being carried out to secure our intellectual property, including the issuance of new patents.
- Meals and travel expenses were \$118,155 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$102,098) and \$245,825 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$209,150). The increase of \$36,675 between the nine-month periods was primarily due to expenses incurred while traveling to and from various investor and medical conferences as well as required travel for personnel to coordinate fundraising efforts and our clinical trials.
- Office expenses were \$30,369 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$45,466) and \$83,133 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$163,762). The significant decrease in office expenses for the three and nine month periods was mainly due to the fact that we have established our operations and acquired all necessary office equipment in order to carry out our operations. Office expenses include general and administrative expenses as well as computer and internet expenses, telephone expenses, professional fees, and rent expenses.
- Research and development ("R&D") expenses were \$1,291,605 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$1,191,806) and \$2,664,063 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$3,196,346). Expenses for the nine month period ended December 31, 2014, include expenses associated with the granting of options to two directors and one advisor for services rendered as non-employee consultants relating to design and manufacturing of the PoNS™ device. As such, true R&D expenditures have increased, especially over the three month period ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to the continuous research and development efforts relating to the PoNS™ device, especially activities relating to preparation and launch of the registrational clinical trial for treating balance disorder associated with mild to moderate traumatic brain injury, our commercial development-to-supply program with Ximedica, LLC ("Ximedica"), a contract manufacturer, and Montreal NeuroFeedback's 12- month pilot clinical trial for multiple sclerosis.

- Transfer agent and regulatory fees were \$35,635 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$17,242) and \$84,587 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$76,215). The increase in transfer agent and regulatory fees stems from the increased regulatory filing requirements associated with U.S. and Canadian filings.
- Wages and salaries expenses were \$315,049 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$132,119) and \$981,827 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$684,375). Included in the amounts accounted for as wages and salaries were funds received from the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (“USAMRMC”) of \$167,672 that were directly attributable to wages.

Non-Operating Items

We recorded a gain of \$408,422 in respect of non-operating items during the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 – gains of \$613,457) and gains of \$3,346,001 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 – losses of \$559,292). Significant changes are outlined as follows:

- Interest and accretion expenses of \$26,108 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 – \$nil) and \$26,108 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$176,488). These charges relate to the accretion of two separate convertible debenture discounts, one of which was converted and settled in fiscal 2015, and the other which was converted and settled in the quarter ending December 31, 2015.
- Interest and other income for the three months ended December 31, 2015 was \$122,101 (December 31, 2014 - \$9,415) and \$149,849 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$20,036). Income from interest related to our cash held in interest bearing accounts. Income increased slightly due to the Company’s sale of some clinical devices to testing facilities in Canada, Australia, Russia, and the US.
- Change in fair value of derivative liability for the three months ended December 31, 2015 was \$(293,698) (December 31, 2014 - \$(76,536)) and \$2,113,391 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 - \$(670,790)). The change in fair value of derivative liability is based on the change of the remaining term of our options granted to non-employees providing services for NHC and the change in our stock price, as well as the fair value of warrants issued in private placements. The derivative liabilities do not represent cash liabilities.
- Foreign exchange gain for the three months ended December 31, 2015 were \$337,593 (December 31, 2014 – gains of \$680,578) and gains of \$845,146 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 – gains of \$267,950). The gains for the current three and nine month periods stem from our predominantly US dollar holdings and the translation of the balance of the Canadian dollar intercompany accounts to the reporting currency.
- Gain on the extinguishment of debt relating to the A&B convertible promissory note for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2015 was \$268,334 (December 31, 2014 - \$nil). As a result of the bifurcation of the embedded conversion option, for accounting purposes, two instruments were considered outstanding and, upon exercise of the contractual conversion option, extinguishment accounting has been applied. Consequently, the shares issued pursuant to the conversion are recorded at their fair value on the date of issuance, determined with reference to their quoted market price on the date of conversion. The resulting difference between the fair value of the shares issued, less the fair value of the related conversion feature and the carrying value of the related debt, is recorded as a gain or loss on the consolidated statement of operations.

Net income (loss)

The net loss was \$2,432,435 for the three months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 – net loss of \$2,478,561) and a net loss of \$3,051,492 for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 (December 31, 2014 – net loss of \$7,798,641). The decrease in net losses between the nine-month periods of \$4,747,149 resulted primarily from a decrease in most operating expenses, especially consulting fees, research and development, and wages and salaries, as well as material differences in the change in fair value of the derivative liability and foreign exchange.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern and, accordingly, does not include adjustments relating to the recoverability and realization of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue in operation.

The following table sets out our cash and working capital as of December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:

	December 31, 2015		March 31, 2015	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	4,350,350	\$	418,893
Working capital (deficit)	\$	(1,158,081)	\$	18,543

As of December 31, 2015, our current assets were \$5,255,498 (March 31, 2015 - \$1,216,347), which increased mostly due to the Company's draw-down of the A&B convertible promissory note. Current liabilities of \$6,413,579 (March 31, 2015 - \$1,197,804) increased due to an increase in our operations since the closing of a private placement and our acquisition of NHC and as a result of a \$5.0 million obligation to issue shares and warrants. Working capital was (\$1,158,081) (March 31, 2015 – \$18,543). Our current assets as of December 31, 2015 consisted of cash and cash equivalents of \$4,350,350 (March 31, 2015 - \$418,893), which increased mostly due to the Company's draw-down of the A&B convertible promissory note, short-term investment of \$nil (March 31, 2015 - \$378,000), which decreased as a result of cashing and closing certain term deposits with our banking institution, receivables of \$121,586 (March 31, 2015 - \$8,833), which increased due to the larger amount of refundable Canadian commodity tax receivable based on the Company's increase in Canadian operations, and prepaid expenses of \$783,562 (March 31, 2015 - \$410,621), which include prepayments to Ximedica, software providers, and insurance providers. Our current liabilities as of December 31, 2015 consisted of accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$1,413,579 (March 31, 2015 - \$1,197,804), which increased due to our increased operations.

As a result of our increased activity, the accumulated deficit increased from \$19,423,451 as at March 31, 2015 to \$22,474,943 as of December 31, 2015.

We currently have limited working capital and liquid assets. Our cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2015 were \$4,350,350. To date we have not generated any revenue from the commercial sales of products or services. There are a number of conditions that we must satisfy before we will be able to generate revenue, including but not limited to successful completion of the design of the PoNS™ device, FDA clearance of the PoNS™ device for treating balance disorder associated with mild to moderate TBI, manufacturing of a commercially-viable version of the PoNS™ device and demonstration of effectiveness sufficient to generate commercial orders by customers for our product. While we are currently seeking additional funding, we do not currently have sufficient resources to accomplish any of these conditions necessary for us to generate revenue. We will therefore require substantial additional funds in order to continue to conduct the research and development and regulatory clearance and approval activities necessary to bring our product to market, to establish effective marketing and sales capabilities and to develop other product candidates.

We will have to continue to rely on equity and debt financing. There can be no assurance that financing, whether debt or equity, will always be available to us in the amount required at any particular time or for any particular period or, if available, that it can be obtained on terms satisfactory to us. Without additional financing, we do not believe our resources will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital needs through the third quarter of calendar 2016.

Statement of Cash Flows

Nine Months ended December 31, 2015 compared to the Nine Months ended December 31, 2014

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, our net cash increased by \$3,931,457 (December 31, 2014 – increase of \$2,890,431), which included net cash used in operating activities of \$6,254,529 (December 31, 2014 - \$4,379,967) stemming from our increase in operations, net cash provided by investing activities of \$378,000 (December 31, 2014 - \$nil) stemming from the redemption of a short-term investment and net cash provided by financing activities of \$9,691,336 (December 31, 2014 - \$7,270,398) stemming mainly from the closing of multiple private placements and drawing down of the A&B convertible promissory note and credit facility.

Cash Used in Operating Activities

Operating activities in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 used cash of \$6,254,529 (December 31, 2014 - \$4,379,967). This was made up of a net loss of \$3,051,492 (December 31, 2014 - \$7,798,641) less adjustments for non-cash items such as accretion of \$23,959 (December 31, 2014 – \$176,488), change in fair value of derivative liability of (\$2,113,391) (December 31, 2014 – \$670,790), stock based compensation of \$431,986 (December 31, 2014 - \$1,970,345), a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$268,334 (December 31, 2014 - \$nil), receivables of (\$119,567) (December 31, 2014 – (\$2,035)), accounts payable of \$128,457 (December 31, 2014 – \$975,694), prepaid expenses of (\$384,629) (December 31, 2014 – (\$150,364)) and foreign exchange on re-measurement of (\$901,518) (December 31, 2014 – (\$222,244)). Receivables increased due to the higher amount of refundable Canadian commodity tax and the Company's reimbursements from the USAMRC. Prepaid expenses increased due to our increase in operations, while payables decreased due to the fact that we paid off some material amounts owing throughout the nine month period.

Cash Provided by Investing Activities

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, cash provided by investing activities totaled \$378,000 (December 31, 2014 - \$nil). This was made up of the redemption of a short-term investment.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, financing activities provided cash of \$9,691,336 (December 31, 2014 - \$7,270,398). Financing activities during the nine month period ended December 31, 2015, consisted of: issuance of share capital of \$2,299,913 (December 31, 2014 - \$7,017,009) stemming from multiple private placements, share issue costs of (\$141,100) (December 31, 2014 – (\$379,806)), issuance of warrants \$532,523 and proceeds from convertible debt and a credit facility of \$7,000,000 (December 31, 2014 - \$633,195).

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

To the best of management's knowledge, there are no off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period ("ASU 2014-12"). ASU 2014-12 requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant date fair value of the award. This update further clarifies that compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern ("ASU 2014-15"). ASU 2014-15 will explicitly require management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosure in certain circumstances. The new standard will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In May, 2014, the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued a converged standard on revenue recognition from contracts with customers, ASU 2014-09 (Topic 606 and IFRS 15). This standard will supersede nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance. ASU 2014-09 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this guidance will have on its financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-03 Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30). This guidance is to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs by recognizing a debt liability in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from that debt liability consistent with the presentation of a debt discount. The amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this new accounting pronouncement on its financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. This preparation requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. U.S. GAAP provides the framework from which to make these estimates, assumption and disclosures. We choose accounting policies within U.S. GAAP that management believes are appropriate to accurately and fairly report our operating results and financial position in a consistent manner. Management regularly assesses these policies in light of current and forecasted economic conditions. Actual results could differ from those estimates made by management. While there are a number of significant accounting policies affecting our financial statements, we believe the critical accounting policies involving the most complex, difficult and subjective estimates and judgments are: valuation of non-monetary transactions, stock compensation for services, valuation of options and valuation of income taxes.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for all of our stock-based payments and awards under the fair value based method. We recognize our stock-based compensation using the accelerated attribution method.

Stock-based payments to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the consideration received, or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, or liabilities incurred, whichever is more reliably measurable. The fair value of stock-based payments to non-employees is periodically re-measured until the counterparty performance is complete, and any change therein is recognized over the vesting period of the award and in the same manner as if we had paid cash instead of paying with or using equity based instruments. The fair value of the stock-based payments to non-employees that is fully vested and non-forfeitable as at the grant date is measured and recognized at that date.

We account for the granting of share purchase options to employees using the fair value method whereby all awards to employees will be recorded at fair value on the date of the grant. The fair value of all share purchase options are expensed over their vesting period with a corresponding increase to additional capital surplus. Upon exercise of share purchase options, the consideration paid by the option holder, together with the amount previously recognized in additional paid-in capital is recorded as an increase to share capital. Share purchase options granted to employees are accounted for as liabilities when they contain conditions or other features that are indexed to other than a market, performance or service condition.

We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to calculate the fair value of our share purchase options. Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected price volatility. Changes in these assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate.

Derivative Liabilities

We evaluate our financial instruments and other contracts to determine if those contracts or embedded components of those contracts qualify as derivatives to be separately accounted for in accordance with ASC 815. The result of this accounting treatment is that the fair value of the embedded derivative is marked-to-market at each balance sheet date and recorded as a liability and the change in fair value is recorded in the consolidated statements of loss. Upon conversion or exercise of a derivative instrument, the instrument is marked to fair value at the conversion date and then that fair value is reclassified to equity.

The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period. Derivative instruments that become subject to reclassification are reclassified at the fair value of the instrument on the reclassification date. Derivative instrument liabilities will be classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not settlement of the derivative instrument is expected within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

We use the Black-Scholes option valuation model to value derivative liabilities. This model uses Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy established by ASC 820 Fair Value Measurement.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Financial Instruments and Other Risks

We are exposed to credit risks and market risks related to changes to interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, each of which could affect the value of our current assets and liabilities. We invest our cash equivalents in fixed rate, highly liquid and highly rated financial instruments such as guaranteed investment contracts, or GICs. At December 31, 2015, our cash and cash equivalents were held as cash. We do not believe that the results of operations or cash flows would be affected to any significant degree by a sudden change in market interest rates relative to our investment portfolio, due to the relative short-term nature of the investments. We have not entered into any forward currency contracts or other financial derivatives to hedge foreign exchange risk. We are subject to foreign exchange rate fluctuations that could have a material effect on our total net assets or net loss. We are exposed to interest rate cash flow risk on our cash and cash equivalents as these instruments bear interest on current market rates.

Item 4.**Controls And Procedures**

In connection with this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, our management evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act, and has concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of December 31, 2015. In particular, the Company's management determined that the improper design of controls with respect to the classification of the Company's warrants was a deficiency in its internal control over financial reporting resulting from the material weakness identified at December 31, 2015. As a result, we did not maintain effective controls over the accounting with respect to the classification of the Company's warrants issued in various private placements, which led us to restate our interim condensed consolidated financial statements. As of the date of this filing, we are still in the process of remediating the material weaknesses that caused our disclosure controls and procedures to not be effective.

Additionally, in connection with the Original Filing, the Company's management determined that the improper design of controls with respect to the calculation of the fair value of the Company's share based compensation was a deficiency in its internal control over financial reporting resulting from the material weakness identified at September 30, 2015. As a result, we did not maintain effective controls over the accounting with respect to remeasurement of the fair value of the Company's stock options awarded to non-employees that had not yet vested, which led us to restate our interim condensed consolidated financial statements. The restatement is more fully described in Note 12 to the restated audited financial statements included in Amendment No. 1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended March 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on January 11, 2016. As of the date of this filing, we are still in the process of remediating the material weaknesses that caused our disclosure controls and procedures to not be effective.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information we are required to disclose in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In connection with the preparation of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2015, our management determined that our accounting staff does not have sufficient technical accounting knowledge relating to accounting for income taxes and complex U.S. GAAP matters, which our management determined has caused our disclosure controls and procedures to be ineffective.

We intend to take appropriate and reasonable steps to make the necessary improvements to our accounting staff to remediate the material weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures as resources to do so become available. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their control objective.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

On January 27, 2015 we received a demand letter containing allegations that we had entered into a consulting arrangement with the complainants and breached certain of its terms, and used certain intellectual property in the form of business and marketing plans allegedly prepared by the complainants, and seeking damages. On May 7, 2015, Mr. Rainier Maas and Dr. Jochen Scheld filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania seeking monetary damages in excess of \$225,000. On December 2, 2015 the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs for an amount of €57,000. The parties have since executed the settlement agreement for the aforementioned amount and the case has been dismissed without prejudice.

On January 5, 2015, Wicab sued the Company, our subsidiary NeuroHabilitation Corporation (“NHC”), Mitch Tyler, a director of the Company and NHC and Yuri Danilov, a former director of the Company and a director of NHC, and Advanced NeuroRehabilitation, LLC (“ANR”), in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. ANR is the licensor to the Company of three issued patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,849,407 and 8,909,345 and 9,020,612) and other patents pending related to neurostimulation methods and devices. The complaint contained various state and common law claims arising from Messrs. Danilov’s and Tyler’s prior employment with Wicab and relating to ownership of two of the issued patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,849,407 and 8,909,345). U.S. Patent No. 9,020,612 was not included in the Wicab complaint. The complaint alleged, among other things, that following their departure from Wicab, Danilov and Tyler knowingly filed patent applications for and used ideas and inventions developed at Wicab in violation of various non-competition and confidentiality agreements, and that the two issued patents are therefore rightfully the property of Wicab. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of monetary damages, an injunction preventing NHC from using the ideas and inventions in the two patents, an order transferring ownership of the patents from ANR to Wicab, and recovery of costs and attorneys’ fees. The Company conducted an internal investigation and determined that Wicab expressly waived all rights in the two issued patents and, additionally, that Wicab’s claims were barred by the six year statute of limitations in Wisconsin. On January 14, 2015, the Company informed Wicab of its belief that the claims were barred due to the express waiver and the statute of limitations. On the same day, Wicab dismissed the complaint without prejudice.

On October 12, 2015, the Company received a letter from Wicab alleging that the two issued patents were invalid in view of prior art cited in the letter, including scientific publications and patent applications, and that Paul Bach-y-Rita, Wicab’s founder, should have been named as an inventor on these two issued patents. Wicab indicated in the letter that it may file reexamination or *inter partes* review proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office to attempt to invalidate the claims in the two issued patents. Wicab also stated that it would consider an unspecified “business solution” to resolve this matter. On December 10, 2015, representatives of each of the Company and Wicab met to discuss the parameters of a potential settlement. As at the date of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, these discussions are ongoing and there can be no guarantee that a settlement will be reached. In the event that a settlement with Wicab is not reached, Wicab may file reexamination or *inter partes* review proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office to challenge the validity of the two issued patents. If the Company receives an adverse decision from the U.S. Patent Office in connection with these proceedings, some or all of the claims in the two patents may be invalidated or otherwise impaired, which could prevent the Company from bringing an infringement suit against a future competitor for making use of the PoNS™ technology for neurorehabilitation, and could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, operating results and financial condition. Wicab may also take other actions against the Company, its assets, intellectual property rights, officers, directors, employees, agents or other persons or entities which may also have a material on business, operating results and financial condition.

Except as described above, we are not aware of any legal proceedings contemplated by any governmental authority or any other party involving us or our properties. As of February 16, 2016, no director, officer or affiliate is (i) a party adverse to us in any legal proceeding, or (ii) has an adverse interest to us in any legal proceedings. We are not aware of any other legal proceedings pending or that have been threatened against us or our properties.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves a number of very significant risks. You should carefully consider the following risks and uncertainties in addition to other information in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, and the risk factors discussed in Part I., “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, as amended and refiled with the SEC on January 11, 2016, in evaluating our company and its business before purchasing shares of our common stock. Our business, operating results and financial condition could be seriously harmed due to any of the following risks. The risks described below may not be all of the risks facing our company. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial may also impair our business operations. You could lose all or part of your investment due to any of these risks.

Risks Related to Our Company

We have a very limited operating history and have a history of operating losses.

Heliuss Medical Technologies, Inc. is our holding company and it has no material assets other than cash and cash equivalents and its ownership of all of the outstanding shares of NHC, which is our wholly owned subsidiary. NHC was incorporated in Delaware on January 22, 2013 and is a development stage company that has had limited operations to date. Since our inception, we have incurred significant net losses. As of December 31, 2015, our accumulated deficit was approximately \$22,479,554.

We are heavily dependent upon the ability and expertise of our CEO and a very limited number of employees and the loss of such individuals could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.

We currently have a very small management team and almost no other employees. Our success is dependent upon the ability, expertise, judgment, discretion and good faith of our senior management, and in particular Mr. Philippe Deschamps, our President and Chief Executive Officer. Currently, Mr. Deschamps is joined by Jonathan Sackier, our Chief Medical Officer, Joyce LaViscount, our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer and Brian Bapty, our Vice President of Strategy and Business Development, and Misha Danilov, our Project Manager as our only full-time employees. We also have engaged 15 full-time equivalent persons as independent contractors. While employment agreements are customarily used as a primary method of retaining the services of key employees, these agreements cannot assure the continued services of such employees. Any loss of the services of such individuals could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has included an explanatory paragraph relating to our ability to continue as a going concern in its report on our audited financial statements. We may be unable to continue to operate without the threat of liquidation for the foreseeable future.

In connection with our management's assessment, our report from our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended March 31, 2015 includes an explanatory paragraph stating that our recurring losses from operations and net capital deficiency raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. If we are unable to obtain sufficient funding, our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations will be materially and adversely affected and we may be unable to continue as a going concern. For example, our existing capital resources will be insufficient to fund our operations through the end of the third quarter of calendar 2016. If we are unable to continue as a going concern, we may have to liquidate our assets and may receive less than the value at which those assets are carried on our consolidated financial statements, and investors will likely lose all or a part of their investment. Future reports from our independent registered public accounting firm may also contain statements expressing doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. If we seek additional financing to fund our business activities in the future and there remains doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, investors or other financing sources may be unwilling to provide additional funding on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

We have identified a material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting. If we do not maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting, we could fail to report our financial results accurately.

We have identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. In connection with the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015 and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended June 30, 2015, September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015, under the direction of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, our management evaluated our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of March 31, 2015, June 30, 2015, September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2015. Subsequently, the Board, after consulting with the Company's management, determined that it was necessary to re-evaluate the Company's accounting relating to warrants issued as part of its private placements conducted in April, June and July of 2015 (the "2015 Warrants"), and to restate the Company's previously reviewed, unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2015, the three months and six months ended September 30, 2015, and the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, as a result of an error in the classification of the 2015 Warrants. The Company previously recorded the issuance of the 2015 Warrants as equity instruments instead of liabilities. The warrant exercise prices are denominated in U.S. dollars whereas the functional currency of the Company is the Canadian dollar; as such, the settlement of the warrants fails the fixed for fixed criteria of ASC 815 and they are required to be recorded as a liability at their fair value on inception. The warrant liability is required to be re-measured at its fair value on each reporting date with the changes in fair value recorded in the Company's Statement of Comprehensive Loss. Subsequently, the Company's management also determined that the improper design of controls with respect to the classification of the Company's warrants was a deficiency in its internal control over financial reporting resulting from the material weaknesses identified at June 30, 2015, September 30, 2015, and December 31, 2015.

The Company had previously restated its consolidated financial statements for the periods as of and for the twelve months ended March 31, 2015 and the quarters therein and its interim condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and the three months and six months ended September 30, 2015, as a result of the Company not previously re-measuring the fair value of stock options awarded to non-employees that had not yet vested. The Company's management has determined that the improper design of controls with respect to the calculation of the fair value of the Company's share based compensation was a deficiency in its internal control over financial reporting resulting from the material weakness identified at March 31, 2015, June 30, 2015, and September 30, 2015. It is possible that other control deficiencies could be identified in the future or may exist or occur without being identified. In the event additional material weaknesses in our internal controls are discovered in the future, they may adversely affect our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information timely and accurately and, as a result, our financial statements may contain material misstatements or omissions.

We have incurred substantial net losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur substantial net losses for the foreseeable future. We may never achieve or sustain profitability.

We have incurred substantial net losses since our inception. Our losses have resulted primarily from costs incurred in connection with our design, manufacturing and development, research and development activities, stock based compensation, legal, advertising, marketing and investor relations, and general and administrative expenses associated with our operations. Even if we are successful in obtaining clearance from the FDA and launching our PoNS™ device into the market, we expect to continue to incur substantial losses for the foreseeable future as we continue to sell and market our current product and research and develop, and seek regulatory approvals for, other potential product candidates.

We are subject to all of the business risks and uncertainties associated with any new business enterprise, including undercapitalization, cash shortages, limitations with respect to personnel, financial and other resources, lack of revenue and the risk that we will not achieve our growth objective. If sales revenue from our current product or any potential product candidates that receive marketing clearance from the FDA or other regulatory body is insufficient, if we are unable to develop and commercialize any of our potential product candidates, or if our product development is delayed, we may never achieve or sustain profitability.

We will require additional financing to carry out our plan of operations and if we are unable to obtain such financing, our business may fail.

We currently have limited working capital and liquid assets. Our cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2015 were \$4,350,350. To date we have not generated any revenue from the sales of products or services. There are a number of conditions that we must satisfy before we will be able to generate revenue, including but not limited to completion of our clinical trial for the treatment of balance disorder in subjects with mild to moderate Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), FDA clearance of the PoNS™ device for treating balance disorder in patients with mild to moderate TBI or gait and balance disorder associated with Multiple Sclerosis (MS), manufacturing of a commercially-viable version of the PoNS™ device and demonstration of effectiveness sufficient to generate commercial orders by customers for our product. While we are currently seeking additional funding, we do not currently have sufficient resources to accomplish any of these conditions necessary for us to generate revenue, and we do not expect to generate revenue in an amount sufficient to fund our operations for the foreseeable future. We will therefore require substantial additional funds in order to continue to conduct the research and development and regulatory clearance and approval activities necessary to bring our product to market, to establish effective marketing and sales capabilities and to develop other product candidates. Our existing capital resources will not be sufficient to enable us to fund the completion of the development and commercialization of our current product and our product candidates. We cannot determine with certainty the duration and completion costs of the current or future development and commercialization of our product candidate or if, when, or to what extent we will generate revenues from the commercialization and sale of our current product candidate or potential future product candidates for which we obtain regulatory approval. We may never succeed in achieving regulatory approval for our current product candidate and any potential future product candidates. We may be unable to raise the additional funding to finance our business on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain additional financing as needed, we may be required to reduce the scope of our operations and pursue only those projects that can be funded through cash flows generated from its existing operations, if any.

Raising additional capital by issuing securities or through debt financings or licensing arrangements may cause dilution to our existing stockholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish rights to our technologies or product candidate on terms unfavorable to us.

To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, your ownership interest will be diluted, and the terms may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect your rights as a stockholder. Debt financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take certain actions, such as incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends. If we raise additional funds through strategic partnerships with third parties, we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies or product candidate, future revenue streams, research programs or product candidate, or otherwise grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us. If we are unable to raise additional capital when needed, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our product development or commercialization efforts for our product candidate or our preclinical product candidates, or grant rights to develop and market potential future product candidates that we would otherwise prefer to develop and market ourselves. Any of these events could adversely affect our ability to achieve our product development and commercialization goals and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We currently only have one product candidate, which is still in development, and we have not obtained clearance from the FDA to commercially distribute the device in the United States or clearance from Health Canada to commercially distribute the device in Canada, and we may never obtain such clearances.

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate substantial product revenues, we expect to finance our cash needs through a combination of public and private equity offerings, debt financings, and license and development agreements through strategic partnerships with third parties. For example, we recently completed a license agreement and debt and equity financing arrangement with A&B. Under the agreements with A&B, we licensed the use of our intellectual property in Asia, and arranged for financing through the issuance of significant amounts of our common stock. We currently have no products approved for commercial distribution. We currently are dependent on the potential development of a single product which is our PoNS™ device for use in the neuromodulation market. We are still developing this product, and we cannot begin marketing and selling the device in the United States or Canada until we obtain clearances from the FDA or Health Canada, respectively. We have not yet submitted applications for regulatory clearance in the United States, Europe, or Canada. The process of obtaining regulatory clearance is expensive and time-consuming and can vary substantially based upon, among other things, the type, complexity and novelty of a product. Changes in regulatory policy, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application may cause delays in the clearance of a product candidate or rejection of a regulatory application altogether. The FDA has substantial discretion in the *de novo* review and clearance processes and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data are insufficient for clearance and require additional pre-clinical, clinical, or other studies. In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from pre-clinical and clinical testing could delay, limit, or prevent marketing authorization from the FDA or regulatory clearance of a product candidate. Any marketing authorization from the FDA or regulatory clearance we ultimately obtain may be limited or subject to restrictions or post-market commitments that render the product candidate not commercially viable. If our attempts to obtain marketing authorization are unsuccessful, we may be unable to generate sufficient revenue to sustain and grow our business, and our business, financial condition, and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

If we are able to complete development of the PoNS™ device and obtain clearance of the PoNS™ device for treatment of chronic balance deficit in patients with mild to moderate TBI or chronic gait and balance deficit associated with MS in the United States, Europe, or Canada, we plan to develop the PoNS™ device to treat other indications, or symptoms caused by neurological disorders. We would be required to commit our own resources to fund development of any other indications and each would require separate FDA clearance. The costs of such development efforts and FDA clearances would be substantial and would likely require additional funding, and each such indication would be subject to the same foregoing risks and uncertainties for FDA clearance.

We are and will continue to be dependent in significant part on outside scientists and third-party research institutions for our research and development in order to be able to commercialize our product candidate.

We currently have a limited number of employees and resources available to perform the research and development necessary to commercialize our PoNS™ device and potential future product candidates. We therefore rely at present, and will continue to rely on third-party research institution collaborators for this capability.

Our subsidiary, NHC, is currently party to the CRADA (as defined below) with the inventors, background patent owners and the Army Laboratories. Pursuant to the CRADA, the Army Laboratories agree to cooperate with NHC on research for the ongoing design and development to determine if the PoNS™ device can be developed for commercial use in assisting physical therapy in the treatment of soldiers and others with military relevant neurological disorders, including but not limited to Tinnitus, post-traumatic stress disorder, or PTSD, pain and any subsequent indications identified by the parties. Under the terms of the initial CRADA, we are solely responsible to fund and oversee clinical studies for the PoNS™ device and seek FDA clearance and approval of the PoNS™ device. We are also solely responsible to complete the research and development efforts necessary to commercialize our PoNS™ device. However, on July 7, 2015, we announced that NHC entered into a sole-source contractual agreement with USAMRMC to support the execution of the registration trial for treatment of balance disorder associated with mild to moderate TBI. The objective of this contract is to defray the costs of the registration trial. The Army Laboratories also agreed in the January 12, 2015 amendment to our CRADA to be responsible to support the execution of clinical studies for the PoNS™ device as a treatment for mutually agreed upon military relevant neurological disorders, which could include but not be limited to Tinnitus, PTSD, and pain and any subsequent indications identified by the parties. The amount of such support, if any, and the terms of such responsibility to support such clinical studies are not yet negotiated and we have no assurance that we can ultimately reach agreement with the Army Laboratories on such amount or terms of support, and there can be no assurance that the Army Laboratories will not otherwise attempt to renegotiate its responsibilities under the CRADA. The Army Laboratories may terminate their obligations under the CRADA at any time upon 30 days prior written notice to us. If there are insufficient funds available to cover the necessary research and development costs for our product, the Army Laboratories could terminate the CRADA and cease research and development efforts which could jeopardize our ability to commercialize our PoNS™ device.

If we fail to obtain FDA clearance for commercialization of or otherwise fail to ensure that the PoNS™ device is available for purchase by the U.S. Government by December 31, 2017, we are subject to significant risk of loss of data, proprietary rights and to certain contractual penalties.

Under the CRADA if we fail to obtain FDA clearance of the PoNS™ device or otherwise fail to ensure that the PoNS™ device is available for purchase by the U.S. Government, in each case by the expiration date under the CRADA of December 31, 2017, we may forfeit the right to pursue commercialization on our own. Specifically, in either such case, we will be required to (i) transfer possession, ownership and sponsorship of any regulatory application, and correspondence supporting the PoNS™ technology to the USAMRMC and (ii) provide the U.S. Government with a non-exclusive, irrevocable license to any patent, copyright, data rights, proprietary information and regulatory information, in order to permit the U.S. Government to pursue commercialization on its own. Any such loss of our ability to exclusively market and sell the PoNS™ device would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Additionally, under our Strategic Agreement with A&B if we fail to obtain FDA clearance for commercialization of or otherwise fail to ensure that the PoNS™ device is available for purchase by the U.S. Government by December 31, 2017, we are subject to a US\$2,000,000 contract penalty payable to A&B.

We may encounter substantial delays in our clinical trials, or our clinical trials may fail to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidate to the satisfaction of applicable regulatory authorities.

Before obtaining marketing approval from regulatory authorities for the sale of our product candidate, we must conduct extensive clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product candidate. Clinical testing is expensive, time consuming and uncertain as to outcome. We cannot guarantee that clinical trials will be conducted as planned or completed on schedule, if at all. A failure of one or more clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. Delays can be costly and could negatively affect our ability to complete a clinical trial.

There is limited market awareness of our product and the neuromodulation market is new and uncertain.

There is currently limited market awareness of our product. In order to succeed, we must among other things increase market awareness of our PoNS™ product and implement a sales and marketing strategy. If we fail in any of these endeavors or experience delays in pursuing them, we will not generate revenues as planned and will need to curtail operations or seek additional financing earlier than otherwise anticipated. In addition, should the neuromodulation market fail to expand, it could have a materially adverse effect on our business and financial position.

Our PoNS™ technology is a new “untested” form of neurostimulation therapy and the medical community tends not to adopt new therapies very rapidly, which may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial position.

The effectiveness of our PoNS™ technology to treat TBI or any other neurological disorder has not been established in studies conducted in a controlled environment designed to produce scientifically significant results. Accordingly, our PoNS™ technology is a new “untested”, and therefore unproven, therapy. Unproven and untested technologies are usually more slowly adopted by the medical community as the medical community tends to be very conservative and does not adopt new “untested” therapies very rapidly. Physicians may elect not to use our products for a variety of reasons, including:

- lack or perceived lack of evidence supporting the beneficial characteristics of our technology;
- limited long-term data on the use of PoNS™ technology for therapy;

- physicians' perception that there are insufficient advantages of our product relative to currently available products;
- hospitals may choose not to purchase our product;
- group purchasing organizations may choose not to contract for our product, thus limiting availability of our products to hospital purchasers;
- lack of coverage or adequate payment from managed care plans and other third-party payers for our product;
- Medicare, Medicaid or other third-party payers may limit or not permit reimbursement for our product; and
- the development of or improvement of competitive products.

If the medical community reacts in a similar fashion to adopting our PoNS™ device for neurostimulation therapy, we will not be able to generate significant revenues, if any.

In order to be successful, we must expand our products beyond our single product by commercializing new potential product candidates, but we may not be able to do so in a timely fashion and at expected costs, or at all.

In order to be successful, we will need to expand our product lines beyond our PoNS™ device which is currently our only product. To succeed in our commercialization efforts, we must effectively continue product development and testing, obtain regulatory clearances and approvals, and enhance our sales and marketing capabilities. There is no assurance that we will succeed in bringing any of our current or potential future product candidates to market. If we fail in bringing our product candidate to market, or experience delays in doing so, we will not generate revenues as planned and will need to curtail operations or seek additional financing earlier than otherwise anticipated.

The development of additional products is subject to the risks of failure inherent in the development of new, state of the art products, laboratory devices and products based on new technologies. These risks include: (a) delays in product development or manufacturing; (b) unplanned expenditures for product development or manufacturing; (c) failure of new products to have the desired effect or an acceptable accuracy profile; (d) emergence of superior or equivalent products; (e) failure by any potential collaborative partners to successfully develop products; and (f) the dependence on third parties for the manufacture, development and sale of our products. Because of these risks, our research and development efforts or those of potential collaborative partners may not result in any commercially viable products. If a significant portion of these development efforts is not successfully completed, or any products are not commercially successful, we are less likely to generate significant revenues, or become profitable. The failure to perform such activities could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of its operations.

We can provide no assurance that the development by others of new or improved devices or products will not result in our present and future products from becoming obsolete.

The areas in which we plan to commercialize, distribute, and/or sell products involves rapidly developing technology. There can be no assurance that we will be able to establish ourselves in such fields, or, if established, that we will be able to maintain our market position, if any. There can be no assurance that the development by others of new or improved products will not make our present and future products, if any, superfluous or obsolete.

Our future success depends on our ability to obtain approval on the patent for the PoNS™ technology, failing which we may be unable to protect our proprietary information and any competitive advantage which may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to obtain patent approval for the PoNS™ technology. There can be no assurance that the patent applications made will result in the issuance of patents or that the term of the patents will be extendable after they expire in due course, which would prevent us from being able to protect our proprietary information and may have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Much of our know-how and technology may not be patentable, though they may constitute trade secrets. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to meaningfully protect our trade secrets. To help protect our intellectual property rights and proprietary technology, we require employees, consultants, advisors and collaborators to enter into confidentiality agreements. There can be no assurance that these agreements will provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets, knowhow or other proprietary information in the event of any unauthorized use or disclosure.

Our intellectual property has been and may be the subject of lawsuits. See Part II Item 1, “Legal Proceedings.”

If our intellectual property protection is inadequate, competitors may gain access to our technology and undermine our competitive position.

We regard our intended and future intellectual property as important to our success, and we intend to rely on patent law to protect our proprietary rights. Despite our precautions, unauthorized third parties may copy certain portions of our devices or products or reverse engineer or obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary. We may seek additional patents in the future. We do not know if any future patent application will be issued with the scope of the claims we seek, if at all, or whether any patents we receive will be challenged or invalidated. Thus, we cannot assure you that any intellectual property rights that we may receive can be successfully asserted in the future or that they will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. Our means of protecting any proprietary rights we may receive in the United States or abroad may not be adequate and competitors may independently develop a similar technology. Any failure to protect our proprietary information and any successful intellectual property challenges or infringement proceedings against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

We may be subject to various litigation claims and legal proceedings, including intellectual property litigation, such as patent infringement claims, which could adversely affect our business.

We, as well as certain of our directors and officers, may be subject to claims or lawsuits. These lawsuits may result in significant legal fees and expenses and could divert management’s time and other resources. If the claims contained in these lawsuits are successfully asserted against us, we could be liable for damages and be required to alter or cease certain of our business practices or product lines. Any of these outcomes could cause our business, financial performance and cash position to be negatively impacted.

Additionally, our commercial success will also depend, in part, on not infringing on the patents or proprietary rights of others. There can be no assurance that the technologies and products used or developed by us will not infringe such rights. If such infringement occurs and we are not able to obtain a license from the relevant third party, we will not be able to continue the development, manufacture, use, or sale of any such infringing technology or product. There can be no assurance that necessary licenses to third-party technology will be available at all or on commercially reasonable term. In some cases, litigation or other proceedings may be necessary to defend against or assert claims of infringement or to determine the scope and validity of the proprietary rights of third parties. Any potential litigation could result in substantial costs to, and diversion of, our resources and could have a material and adverse impact on us.

An adverse outcome in any such litigation or proceeding could subject us to significant liabilities, require us to cease using the subject technology or require us to license the subject technology from the third party, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

On January 5, 2015, Wicab sued the Company, NHC, Mitch Tyler, a director of the Company and NHC and Yuri Danilov, a former director of the Company and a director of NHC, and ANR, in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. ANR is the licensor to the Company of three issued patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,849,407 and 8,909,345 and 9,020,612) and other patents pending related to neurostimulation methods and devices. The complaint contained various state and common law claims arising from Messrs. Danilov’s and Tyler’s prior employment with Wicab and relating to ownership of two of the issued patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,849,407 and 8,909,345). U.S. Patent No. 9,020,612 was not included in the Wicab complaint. The complaint alleged, among other things, that following their departure from Wicab, Danilov and Tyler knowingly filed patent applications for and used ideas and inventions developed at Wicab in violation of various non-competition and confidentiality agreements, and that the two issued patents are therefore rightfully the property of Wicab. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of monetary damages, an injunction preventing NHC from using the ideas and inventions in the two patents, an order transferring ownership of the patents from ANR to Wicab, and recovery of costs and attorneys’ fees. The Company conducted an internal investigation and determined that Wicab expressly waived all rights in the two issued patents and, additionally, that Wicab’s claims were barred by the six year statute of limitations in Wisconsin. On January 14, 2015, the Company informed Wicab of its belief that the claims were barred due to the express waiver and the statute of limitations. On the same day, Wicab dismissed the complaint without prejudice.

On October 12, 2015, the Company received a letter from Wicab alleging that the two issued patents were invalid in view of prior art cited in the letter, including scientific publications and patent applications, and that Paul Bach-y-Rita, Wicab's founder, should have been named as an inventor on these two issued patents. Wicab indicated in the letter that it may file reexamination or *inter partes* review proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office to attempt to invalidate the claims in the two issued patents. Wicab also stated that it would consider an unspecified "business solution" to resolve this matter. On December 10, 2015, representatives of each of the Company and Wicab met to discuss the parameters of a potential settlement. As at the date of this Prospectus, these discussions are ongoing and there can be no guarantee that a settlement will be reached. In the event that a settlement with Wicab is not reached, Wicab may file reexamination or *inter partes* review proceedings with the U.S. Patent Office to challenge the validity of the two issued patents. If the Company receives an adverse decision from the U.S. Patent Office in connection with these proceedings, some or all of the claims in the two patents may be invalidated or otherwise impaired, which could prevent the Company from bringing an infringement suit against a future competitor for making use of the PoNS™ technology for neurorehabilitation, and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, operating results and financial condition. Wicab may also take other actions against the Company, its assets, intellectual property rights, officers, directors, employees, agents or other persons or entities which may also have a material on business, operating results and financial condition.

See "Legal Proceedings."

If our expenses are greater than anticipated, then we will have fewer funds with which to pursue our plan of operations and our financing requirements will be greater than anticipated.

We may find that the costs of carrying out our plan of operations are greater than we anticipate. Increased operating costs may cause the amount of financing that we require to increase. Investors may be more reluctant to provide additional financing if we cannot demonstrate that we can control our operating costs. There is no assurance that additional financing required as a result of our operating costs being greater than anticipated will be available to us. If we do not control our operating expenses, then we will have fewer funds with which to carry out our plan of operations with the result that our business may fail.

Our ability to use net operating losses to offset future taxable income may be subject to certain limitations.

Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, substantial changes in a corporation's ownership may limit the amount of net operating losses ("NOL"s) that can be utilized annually in the future to offset the corporation's (and the corporation's affiliates') U.S. federal and state taxable income. Specifically, this limitation may arise in the event of a cumulative change in ownership of more than 50% within any three-year period. The amount of the annual limitation is determined based on the value of the corporation that underwent the ownership change, immediately before the ownership change. Subsequent ownership changes may further affect any limitation in future years (including by the way of exercising of warrants). We plan to undertake a study to analyze and determine if any historical ownership changes of us or our subsidiary NHC have occurred to determine if there are any permanent limitations on our ability to utilize NOLs in the future. If we determine that an ownership change has occurred, the limitations on the use of our NOLs could increase our U.S. federal and state tax liability and reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to shareholders or otherwise adversely affect the value of an investment in our common stock or Warrants.

We may not be able to build an effective distribution network for our products.

We currently have very few employees and will likely need to rely on third party distributors to sell our product. We cannot assure you that we will succeed in entering into and maintaining productive arrangements with an adequate number of distributors that are sufficiently committed to selling our products. The establishment of a distribution network is expensive and time consuming. As we launch new products and increase our marketing effort with respect to existing products, we will need to continue to hire, train, retain and motivate skilled independent distributors with significant technical knowledge. In addition, the commissions we pay our distributors could increase over time which would result in higher sales and marketing expenses. Furthermore, current and potential distributors may market and sell the products of our competitors. Even if the distributors market and sell our products, our competitors may be able, by offering higher commission payments or other incentives, to persuade these distributors to reduce or terminate their sales and marketing efforts related to our products. The distributors may also help competitors solicit business from our existing customers. Some of our independent distributors will likely account for a significant portion of our sales volume, and, if we were to lose them, our sales could be adversely affected. Even if we engage and maintain suitable relationships with an adequate number of distributors, they may not generate revenue as quickly as we expect them to, commit the necessary resources to effectively market and sell our products, or ultimately succeed in selling our products.

We depend on a single source for the manufacture of our product and the loss of this third-party manufacture could harm our business.

We will be dependent on a single third-party to manufacture and supply our PoNS™ device. This manufacturer will also hold our inventory, warehouse and ship our products to our distribution center who will ship to customers as well as handle customer service related tasks. Our reliance on a single third-party manufacturer to supply us with our PoNS™ device and a separate vendor to provide such other distribution and warranty services exposes us to risks that could delay our sales, or result in higher costs or lost product revenues. In particular, our manufacturer could:

- encounter difficulties in achieving volume production, quality control and quality assurance or suffer shortages of qualified personnel, which could result in their inability to manufacture sufficient quantities of our commercially available product to meet market demand, or it could experience similar problems that result in the manufacture of insufficient quantities of our product candidate; and
- fail to follow and remain in compliance with the FDA-mandated QSRs, compliance which is required for all medical devices, or fail to document their compliance to QSRs, either of which could lead to significant delays in the availability of materials for our product.

If we are unable to obtain adequate supplies of our product that meet our specifications and quality standards, it will be difficult for us to compete effectively. We have no supply agreements in place with our manufacturer and it may change the terms of our future orders or choose not to supply us with products in the future. Furthermore, if such manufacturer fails to perform its obligations, we may be forced to purchase our product from other third-party manufacturers, which we may not be able to do on reasonable terms or in sufficient time, if at all. In addition, if we are required to change manufacturers for any reason, we will be required to verify that the new manufacturer maintains facilities and procedures that comply with quality standards and with all applicable regulations and guidelines. The delays associated with the verification of a new manufacturer or the reverification of an existing manufacturer could negatively affect our ability to produce and distribute our product in a timely manner.

If the U.S. Army were to decide not to purchase our product or chose to no longer provide financial support for our clinical testing through the sole-source cost sharing contract we would face risks related to finding new partners or customers.

The U.S. Army is under no obligation to purchase the PoNS™ device from us and there is no assurance that the U.S. Army will ultimately purchase the Company's product. Given the importance of the U.S. Army to our commercial plans, if the U.S. Army were to eventually decide not to purchase our product, we would need to find other buyers for our product. If the U.S. Army were to decline to purchase our product, we may have more difficulty persuading other third parties to purchase our product. Additionally, through our subsidiary NHC, we are party to a sole source cost sharing contract with the USAMRMC. Under the contract, the USAMRMC will reimburse the Company for costs related to a registrational trial investigating the safety and effectiveness of the PoNS™ device the registration of up to a maximum amount of \$2,996,244. The contract expires on December 31, 2016. If we fail to complete the registrational trial or renew the contract by that time we face the risk of needing to find additional financial support for the trial.

If and when we sell our products, we may be liable for product liability claims and we may not carry sufficient product liability insurance.

The devices and products that we intend to develop may expose us to potential liability from personal injury claims by end-users of the product. We intend to carry product liability insurance to protect us against the risk that in the future a product liability claim or product recall could materially and adversely affect our business. Inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable cost or otherwise to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of our intended products. We cannot assure you that if and when we commence distribution of our product that we will be able to obtain or maintain adequate coverage on acceptable terms, or that such insurance will provide adequate coverage against all potential claims. Moreover, even if we maintain adequate insurance, any successful claim could materially and adversely affect our reputation and prospects, and divert management's time and attention. If we are sued for any injury allegedly caused by our future products our liability could exceed our total assets and our ability to pay the liability.

We are an “emerging growth company” under the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or JOBS Act, and we cannot be certain if the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an “emerging growth company” as defined in the JOBS Act. As an “emerging growth company”, we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not “emerging growth companies” including, but not limited to, not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements, and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation, shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved and presenting the relationship between executive compensation actually paid and our financial performance. We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile. Additionally, we have irrevocably elected to comply with new or revised accounting standards even though we are an emerging growth company.

We will remain an “emerging growth company” for up to five years after our first sale of common stock pursuant to a Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, registration statement, although we will lose that status sooner if our revenues exceed \$1 billion, if we issue more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt in a three year period, or if the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the end of our third quarter in any calendar year.

Our status as an “emerging growth company” under the JOBS Act may make it more difficult to raise capital as and when we need it. Because of the exemptions from various reporting requirements provided to us as an “emerging growth company”, we may be less attractive to investors and it may be difficult for us to raise additional capital as and when we need it. If we are unable to raise additional capital as and when we need it, our financial condition and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

We are a small company with limited resources compared to some of our current and potential competitors and we may not be able to compete effectively and increase market share.

There is potential that we will face intense competition from other companies, some of which can be expected to have longer operating histories and more financial resources and manufacturing and marketing experience than us. Increased competition by larger and better financed competitors could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and our results of operations.

Because of the early stage of the industry in which we intend to operate, we expect to face additional competition from new entrants. To be competitive, we will require a continued high level of investment in research and development, marketing, sales and client support. We may not have sufficient resources to maintain research and development, marketing, sales and client support efforts on a competitive basis which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and our results of operations.

We have incurred increased costs and have become subject to additional regulations and requirements as a result of becoming a public company, which could lower our profits, if any, or make it more difficult to run our business.

As a public company, we have incurred significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company, including costs associated with public company reporting requirements. We will continue to incur costs associated with the rules implemented by the SEC, the TSX, the OTCQB, and any other exchange on which our common stock may become listed. The expenses incurred by public companies for reporting and corporate governance purposes have generally been increasing. These rules and regulations have increased our legal and financial compliance costs and have made some activities more time-consuming and costly. These laws and regulations also could make it more difficult or costly for us to obtain certain types of insurance, including director and officer liability insurance, and we may be forced to accept reduced policy limits and coverage or incur substantially higher costs to obtain the same or similar coverage. These laws and regulations could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our Board of Directors, our board committees or as our executive officers. Furthermore, if we are unable to satisfy our obligations as a public company, we could be subject to delisting of our common stock, fines, sanctions and other regulatory action and potentially civil litigation.

Several people who work for us on a part-time consulting basis may be subject to conflicts of interest.

Several people who provide services to us do so on a part-time consulting basis. Each may devote part of his working time to other business endeavors, including consulting relationships with other corporate entities, and may have responsibilities to these other entities. Because of these relationships, some of the persons who provide services to us may be subject to conflicts of interest. Such conflicts may include deciding how much time to devote to our affairs, as well as what business opportunities should be presented to us.

Risks Related to Government Regulation

Before we can market and sell our products, we will be required to obtain approval and clearance by the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities. These approvals and clearances will take significant time and require significant research, development, and clinical study expenditures, and ultimately may not succeed.

Before we begin to label and market the PoNS™ device for use in the United States, we are required to obtain clearance from the FDA under Section 510(k) of the FD&C Act, approval of a de novo reclassification petition for our product, or approval of pre-market approval application from the FDA, unless an exemption from pre-market review applies. We intend to utilize the de novo classification procedures to seek marketing authorization for the PoNS™ device, because there is currently no predicate cleared or approved by the FDA for commercial distribution and no existing classification decision by the FDA for such a device. We will also be required to comply with costly and time-consuming compliance by foreign regulatory authorities if we want to sell our products outside of the United States. The process of obtaining regulatory clearances or approvals, or completing the de novo classification process, to market a medical device can be costly and time consuming, and we may not be able to successfully obtain pre-market reviews on a timely basis, if at all.

If the FDA requires us to go through a lengthier, more rigorous examination for the PoNS™ device, introducing the product could be delayed or canceled, which could cause our launch to be delayed. In addition, the FDA may determine that the PoNS™ device requires the more costly, lengthy and uncertain pre-market approval process. For example, if the FDA disagrees with our determination that the de novo classification procedures are the appropriate path to obtain marketing authorizations for the PoNS™ device, the FDA may require us to submit a PMA application, which is generally more costly and uncertain and can take from one to three years, or longer, from the time the application is submitted to the FDA until an approval is obtained.

Further, even with respect to those future products where a PMA is not required, we cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain 510(k) clearances with respect to those products.

Obtaining FDA clearance will be costly, may result in time-consuming delays and will subject us to ongoing compliance costs and regulatory risk for non-compliance.

Obtaining FDA clearance, de novo down-classification, or approval for medical devices can be expensive and uncertain, and generally takes from several months to several years, and generally requires detailed and comprehensive scientific and clinical data. Notwithstanding the expense, these efforts may never result in FDA clearance. Even if we were to obtain regulatory clearance, it may not be for the uses we believe are important or commercially attractive, in which case we would not be permitted to market our product for those uses.

The FDA can delay, limit or deny clearance or approval of a device for many reasons, including:

- we may not be able to demonstrate to the FDA's satisfaction that our product candidate is safe and effective, sensitive and specific diagnostic tests, for its intended users;
- the data from our pre-clinical studies and clinical trials may be insufficient to support clearance or approval, where required; and
- the manufacturing process or facilities we use may not meet applicable requirements.

In addition, the FDA may change its clearance and approval policies, adopt additional regulations or revise existing regulations, or take other actions which may prevent or delay approval or clearance of our products under development or impact our ability to modify our currently approved or cleared products on a timely basis. For example, in response to industry and healthcare provider concerns regarding the predictability, consistency and rigor of the 510(k) regulatory pathway, the FDA initiated an evaluation of the program, and in January 2011, announced several proposed actions intended to reform the review process governing the clearance of medical devices. The FDA intends these reform actions to improve the efficiency and transparency of the clearance process, as well as bolster patient safety. In addition, as part of the FDASIA the U.S. Congress reauthorized the Medical Device User Fee Amendments with various FDA performance goal commitments and enacted several "Medical Device Regulatory Improvements" and miscellaneous reforms which are further intended to clarify and improve medical device regulation both pre- and post-approval. Any delay in, or failure to receive or maintain, clearance or approval for our product candidate could prevent us from generating revenue from our product candidate and adversely affect our business operations and financial results.

Even if granted, a 510(k) clearance, *de novo* down-classification, or pre-market approval for any future product would likely place substantial restrictions on how our device is marketed or sold, and FDA will continue to place considerable restrictions on our products and operations. For example, the manufacture of medical devices must comply with FDA's QSR. In addition, manufacturers must register their manufacturing facilities, list the products with FDA, and comply with requirements relating to labeling, marketing, complaint handling, adverse event and medical device reporting, reporting of corrections and removals, and import and export. FDA monitors compliance with the QSR and these other requirements through periodic inspections. If our facilities or those of our manufacturers or suppliers are found to be in violation of applicable laws and regulations, or if we or our manufacturers or suppliers fail to take satisfactory corrective action in response to an adverse inspection, the regulatory authority could take enforcement action, including any of the following sanctions:

- untitled letters, warning letters, fines, injunctions, consent decrees and civil penalties;
- customer notifications of repair, replacement, refunds, detention or seizure of our products
- operating restrictions or partial suspension or total shutdown of production;
- refusing or delaying requests for 510(k) marketing clearance or pre-market approvals of new products or modified products;
- withdrawing 510(k) marketing clearances or pre-market approvals that have already been granted;
- refusing to provide Certificates for Foreign Government;
- refusing to grant export approval for our products; or
- pursuing criminal prosecution

Additionally, the FDA and other regulatory authorities have broad enforcement powers. Regulatory enforcement or inquiries, or other increased scrutiny on us, could affect the perceived safety and efficacy of our product candidate and dissuade our customers from using our product candidate, if and when it is authorized for marketing.

We expect to be required to conduct clinical trials to support regulatory approval of some of our potential future product candidates. We have limited experience in the clinical trials process, they may proceed more slowly than anticipated, and we cannot be certain that our product candidate will be shown to be safe and effective for human use.

In order to commercialize our product candidate in the United States, we may be required by the FDA to submit an application for PMA for review and approval by the FDA. A PMA application must be submitted to the FDA if our device cannot be cleared through the 510(k) clearance process or is not exempt from premarket review by the FDA. We could also be required to submit a PMA application for other potential future product candidates. If we are required by the FDA to submit a PMA application, the FDA will also require us to conduct clinical trials. The FDA could also require us to provide the FDA with clinical trial data to support some of our 510(k) premarket notifications. We will receive approval or clearance from the FDA to commercialize products requiring a clinical trial only if we can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, through well-designed and properly conducted clinical trials, that our product candidate is safe and effective and otherwise meet the appropriate standards required for approval or clearance for specified indications.

Clinical trials are complex, expensive, time consuming, uncertain and are subject to substantial and unanticipated delays. Before we may begin clinical trials, we must submit and obtain approval for an investigational device exemption, or IDE, that describes, among other things, the manufacture of, and controls for, the device and a complete investigational plan. Clinical trials generally involve a substantial number of patients in a multi-year study. Because we do not have the experience or the infrastructure necessary to conduct clinical trials, we will have to hire one or more contract research organizations, or CROs, to conduct trials on our behalf. CRO contract negotiations may be costly and time consuming and we will rely heavily on the CRO to ensure that our trials are conducted in accordance with regulatory and industry standards. We may encounter problems with our clinical trials and any of those problems could cause us or the FDA to suspend those trials, or delay the analysis of the data derived from them.

A number of events or factors, including any of the following, could delay the completion of our clinical trials in the future and negatively impact our ability to obtain FDA approval for, and to introduce our product candidate:

- failure to obtain financing necessary to bear the cost of designing and conducting clinical trials;
- failure to obtain approval from the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities to commence investigational studies;
- conditions imposed on us by the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities regarding the scope or design of our clinical trials;
- failure to find a qualified CRO to conduct our clinical trials or to negotiate a CRO services agreement on favorable terms;
- delays in obtaining or in our maintaining required approvals from institutional review boards or other reviewing entities at clinical sites selected for participation in our clinical trials;
- insufficient supply of our product candidate or other materials necessary to conduct our clinical trials;
- difficulties in enrolling patients in our clinical trials;
- negative or inconclusive results from clinical trials, or results that are inconsistent with earlier results, that necessitate additional clinical studies;
- failure on the part of the CRO to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements;
- our failure to maintain a successful relationship with the CRO or termination of our contractual relationship with the CRO before completion of the clinical trials;
- serious or unexpected side effects experienced by patients in whom our product candidate are implanted; or
- failure by any of our third-party contractors or investigators to comply with regulatory requirements or meet other contractual obligations in a timely manner.

Our clinical trials may need to be redesigned or may not be completed on schedule, if at all. Delays in our clinical trials may result in increased development costs for our product candidate, which could cause our stock price to decline and limit our ability to obtain additional financing. In addition, if one or more of our clinical trials are delayed, competitors may be able to bring products to market before we do, and the commercial viability of our product candidate could be significantly reduced.

We will be substantially dependent on third parties to conduct clinical trials.

As we are required to conduct clinical trials to obtain FDA clearance, we need to rely heavily on third parties over the course of our clinical trials, and as a result will have limited control over the clinical investigators and limited visibility into their day-to-day activities. Nevertheless, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our studies is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol and legal, regulatory, and scientific standards, and our reliance on third parties does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. We and these third parties are required to comply with current good clinical practices, or cGCPs, which are regulations and guidelines enforced by the FDA and comparable foreign regulatory authorities for product candidates in clinical development. Regulatory authorities enforce these cGCPs through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators, and trial sites. If we or any of these third parties fail to comply with applicable cGCP regulations, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional nonclinical or clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. We cannot be certain that, upon inspection, such regulatory authorities will determine that any of our clinical trials comply with the cGCP regulations. In addition, our clinical trials may be required to be conducted with a large number of test patients. Our failure or any failure by these third parties to comply with these regulations or to recruit a sufficient number of patients may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process. Moreover, our business may be implicated if any of these third parties violates federal or state fraud and abuse or false claims laws and regulations or healthcare privacy and security laws.

Any third parties conducting our clinical trials are not and will not be our employees and, except for remedies available to us under our agreements with such third parties, we cannot control whether or not they devote sufficient time and resources to our ongoing preclinical, clinical, and nonclinical programs. These third parties may also have relationships with other commercial entities, including our competitors, for whom they may also be conducting clinical studies or other drug development activities, which could affect their performance on our behalf. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, if they need to be replaced, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed, or terminated and we may not be able to complete development of, obtain regulatory approval of or successfully commercialize our product candidate. As a result, our financial results and the commercial prospects for our product candidate would be harmed, our costs could increase, and our ability to generate revenue could be delayed.

If any of our relationships terminate with these third-party CROs, we may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs or do so on commercially reasonable terms. Switching or adding additional CROs involves additional cost and requires management time and focus. In addition, there is a natural transition period when a new CRO begins work. As a result, delays occur, which can materially impact our ability to meet our desired clinical development timelines. Though we carefully manage our relationships with our CROs, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter similar challenges or delays in the future or that these delays or challenges will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, and prospects.

If we are unable to obtain a reimbursement code from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services so that the PoNS™ device is covered under Medicare and Medicaid, this would have a negative impact on our intended sales and would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

We plan to submit an application to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services for an International Classification of Disease 10 reimbursement code so that the PoNS™ device is covered under Medicare and Medicaid. There can be no assurance that our application will be successful, or that we will be able to obtain a reimbursement code in a timely manner. In the event that we do not obtain a reimbursement code for the PoNS™ device, our customers would be unable to obtain reimbursement for their purchases under private or government-sponsored insurance plans which would have a negative impact on sales and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

If hospitals and other healthcare providers are unable to obtain coverage or adequate reimbursement for procedures performed with our products, our product will not likely be widely used.

In the United States, the commercial success of our existing product and any future products will depend, in part, on the extent to which governmental payers at the federal and state levels, including Medicare and Medicaid, private health insurers and other third-party payers provide coverage for and establish adequate reimbursement levels for procedures utilizing our products. Hospitals and other healthcare providers that purchase our product for treatment of their patients generally rely on third-party payers to pay for all or part of the costs and fees associated with our products as part of a “bundled” rate for the associated procedures. The existence of coverage and adequate reimbursement for our products and the procedures performed with them by government and private payers critical to market acceptance of our existing and future products. Neither hospitals nor physicians are likely to use our product and any future products if they do not receive adequate reimbursement for the procedures utilizing our products.

Many private payers currently base their reimbursement policies on the coverage decisions and payment amounts determined by the CMS, which administers the Medicare program. Others may adopt different coverage or reimbursement policies for procedures performed with our products, while some governmental programs, such as Medicaid, have reimbursement policies that vary from state to state, some of which may not pay for the procedures performed with our products in an adequate amount, if at all. A Medicare national or local coverage decision denying coverage for one or more of our products could result in private and other third-party payers also denying coverage for our products. Third-party payers also may deny reimbursement for our products if they determine that a product used in a procedure was not medically necessary, was not used in accordance with cost-effective treatment methods, as determined by the third-party payer, or was used for an unapproved use. Unfavorable coverage or reimbursement decisions by government programs or private payers underscore the uncertainty that our products face in the market and could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Many hospitals and clinics in the United States belong to group purchasing organizations, which typically incentivize their hospital members to make a relatively large proportion of purchases from a limited number of vendors of similar products that have contracted to offer discounted prices. Such contracts often include exceptions for purchasing certain innovative new technologies, however. Accordingly, the commercial success of our products may also depend to some extent on our ability to either negotiate favorable purchase contracts with key group purchasing organizations and/or persuade hospitals and clinics to purchase our product “off contract.”

The healthcare industry in the United States has experienced a trend toward cost containment as government and private payers seek to control healthcare costs by paying service providers lower rates. While we believe that hospitals will be able to obtain coverage for procedures using our products, the level of payment available to them for such procedures may change over time. State and federal healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, closely regulate provider payment levels and have sought to contain, and sometimes reduce, payment levels. Private payers frequently follow government payment policies and are likewise interested in controlling increases in the cost of medical care. In addition, some payers are adopting pay-for-performance programs that differentiate payments to healthcare providers based on the achievement of documented quality-of-care metrics, cost efficiencies, or patient outcomes. These programs are intended to provide incentives to providers to deliver the same or better results while consuming fewer resources. As a result of these programs, and related payer efforts to reduce payment levels, hospitals and other providers are seeking ways to reduce their costs, including the amounts they pay to medical device manufacturers. We may not be able to sell our implants profitably if third-party payers deny or discontinue coverage or reduce their levels of payment below that which we project, or if our production costs increase at a greater rate than payment levels. Adverse changes in payment rates by payers to hospitals could adversely impact our ability to market and sell our products and negatively affect our financial performance.

In international markets, medical device regulatory requirements and healthcare payment systems vary significantly from country to country, and many countries have instituted price ceilings on specific product lines. We cannot assure you that our products will be considered cost-effective by international third-party payers, that reimbursement will be available or, if available, that the third-party payers’ reimbursement policies will not adversely affect our ability to sell our products profitably. Any failure to receive regulatory or reimbursement approvals would negatively impact market acceptance of our products in any international markets in which those approvals are sought.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

A decline in the price of our common stock could affect our ability to raise any required working capital and adversely impact our operations.

A decline in the price of our common stock could result in a reduction in the liquidity of our common stock and a reduction in our ability to raise any required capital for our operations. Because our operations to date have been principally financed through the sale of equity securities, a decline in the price of our common stock could have an adverse effect upon our liquidity and our continued operations. A reduction in our ability to raise equity capital in the future may have a material adverse effect upon our business plan and operations. If our stock price declines, we may not be able to raise additional capital or generate funds from operations sufficient to meet our obligations.

Our common stock does not have a well-established trading market in the United States. Trading of our common stock is sporadic, and the price of our common stock may be volatile; we caution you as to the highly illiquid nature of an investment in our shares.

Our common stock is currently periodically quoted on the OTCQB electronic quotation service operated by OTC Markets Group Inc. A well-established market for our common stock may never develop in the United States. Trading in stock quoted on the OTCQB is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance or future prospects of our business. Moreover, the OTCQB is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTCQB is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like Nasdaq or a stock exchange like Amex. Accordingly, shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of the shares. Securities of microcap and small-cap companies have experienced substantial volatility in the past, often based on factors unrelated to the companies' financial performance or prospects. We believe that trading in our stock, if it occurs at all, will likely be subject to significant volatility since, among other reasons, we do not have nor will we have in the foreseeable future an active trading market in our stock. These factors include macroeconomic developments in North America and globally and market perceptions of the attractiveness of particular industries. Factors unrelated to our performance that may affect the price of our common stock include the following: the extent of analytical coverage available to investors concerning our business may be limited if investment banks with research capabilities do not follow us, a reduction in trading volume and general market interest in our common stock may affect an investor's ability to trade significant numbers of shares of our common stock; the size of our public float may limit the ability of some institutions to invest in our common stock; and a substantial decline in the price of shares of our common stock that persists for a significant period of time could cause our common stock, if listed on an exchange, to be delisted from such exchange, further reducing market liquidity. As a result of any of these factors, the market price of our common stock at any given point in time may not accurately reflect our long-term value. The price of our common shares may increase or decrease in response to a number of events and factors, including: changes in financial estimates; our acquisitions and financings; quarterly variations in our operating results; the operating and share price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable; and purchase or sale of blocks of our common stock. These factors, or any of them, may materially adversely affect the prices of our common shares regardless of our operating performance. We caution you as to the highly illiquid nature of an investment in our shares.

The market price of our common stock is affected by many other variables which are not directly related to our success and are, therefore, not within our control. These include other developments that affect the breadth of the public market for shares of our common stock and the attractiveness of alternative investments. The effect of these and other factors on the market price of our common stock is expected to make our common stock price volatile in the future, which may result in losses to investors.

We have not voluntarily implemented various corporate governance measures, in the absence of which, shareholders may have more limited protections against interested director transactions, conflicts of interest and similar matters.

Federal legislation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has resulted in the adoption of various corporate governance measures designed to promote the integrity of the corporate management and the securities markets. Some of these measures have been adopted in response to legal requirements. Others have been adopted by companies in response to the requirements of national securities exchanges, such as the NYSE or the Nasdaq Stock Market, on which their securities are listed. Among the corporate governance measures that are required under the rules of national securities exchanges are those that address board of directors' independence, and audit committee oversight. We have not yet adopted many of these corporate governance measures, including

- the requirement that our board of directors be composed of a majority of independent directors; and
- the requirement that we have a nominating and corporate governance committee, a compensation committee and an audit committee composed entirely of independent directors, with written charters addressing the committees' purpose and responsibilities.

It is possible that if we were to adopt some or all of these corporate governance measures, stockholders would benefit from somewhat greater assurances that internal corporate decisions were being made by disinterested directors and that policies had been implemented to define responsible conduct. Investors should bear in mind our current lack of corporate governance measures in formulating their investment decisions.

The market price of our common stock is likely to be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations, and you may be unable to resell your shares at or above the price at which you acquired them, or at all.

The market price of our common stock is likely to be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a number of factors that are beyond our control, including, but not limited to:

- quarterly variations in our revenues and operating expenses;
- developments in the financial markets and worldwide or regional economies;
- announcements of innovations or new products or services by us or our competitors;
- announcements by the government relating to regulations that govern our industry;
- significant sales of our common stock or other securities in the open market;
- variations in interest rates;
- changes in the market valuations of other comparable companies; and
- changes in accounting principles.

In the past, stockholders have often instituted securities class action litigation after periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities. If a stockholder were to file any such class action suit against us, we would incur substantial legal fees and our management's attention and resources would be diverted from operating our business to respond to the litigation, which could harm our business.

Our two major shareholders have the ability to take shareholder action without the involvement of our other shareholders.

In accordance with our governing documents, any action required to be taken at a shareholders' meeting may be taken without a meeting if consents in writing setting forth the action so taken are signed by the holders of our outstanding shares having not less than the minimum number of votes that would be required to authorize or take the action at a meeting at which all shares entitled to vote on the action were present and voted. Currently, our two major shareholders, MPJ Healthcare, LLC ("MPJ") and ANR, hold approximately 39% of our outstanding shares of common stock. Philippe Deschamps, our Chief Executive Officer, and Jonathan Sackier, our Chief Medical Officer, each serve on the board of members of MPJ.

Our two major shareholders may have the ability to take shareholder action at a shareholders' meeting even if they do not hold a majority of our outstanding common stock.

As long as our two major shareholders, MPJ and ANR, collectively hold at least 33 1/3% of our outstanding common stock, they may be able to effect a vote requiring shareholder approval. In accordance with our governing documents, shareholders holding at least five percent of all the votes entitled to be cast on a proposal may call a special meeting to vote on the proposal. Also in accordance with our governing documents, quorum for a shareholders' meeting is at least 33 1/3% of our outstanding common stock entitled to vote and, where quorum is present, shareholder action may be taken by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote. Accordingly, if our two major shareholders call a meeting and establish quorum, they can effect shareholder approval on a proposal unless other shareholders holding a greater number of shares than our two major shareholders were present at the meeting, either in person or by proxy, and vote against the proposal. There is no guarantee that such other shareholders will be present at any such meeting or, even if they were present at such meeting, will vote against the proposal.

We are authorized to issue an unlimited number of Class A common stock, and we intend to issue significantly more shares to raise capital, which would result in substantial dilution to your investment in our shares.

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of an unlimited number of Class A common shares, that can be issued for such consideration and on such terms and conditions as are established by our board of directors without the approval of any of our shareholders. Any additional financings effected by us may result in the issuance of additional securities without stockholder approval and the substantial dilution in the percentage of common stock held by our then existing stockholders. Moreover, the common stock issued in any such transaction may be valued on an arbitrary or non-arm's-length basis by our management, resulting in an additional reduction in the percentage of common stock held by our current stockholders. Our board of directors has the power to issue any or all of such authorized but unissued shares without stockholder approval. To the extent that additional shares of common stock or preferred stock are issued in connection with a financing, dilution to the interests of our stockholders will occur and the rights of the holders of common stock might be materially and adversely affected. We may issue additional common shares in connection with a future financing or acquisition. The issuance of additional common shares may dilute an investor's investment in us and reduce cash available for distribution per common share, if any dividends are declared by the board of directors in the future.

We have not paid any dividends and do not foresee paying dividends in the future.

We intend to retain earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and do not intend to pay cash dividends on shares of our common stock in the foreseeable future. The payment of future cash dividends, if any, will be reviewed periodically by the board of directors and will depend upon, among other things, conditions then existing including earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, restrictions in financing agreements, business opportunities and other factors.

A significant portion of our outstanding common stock may be sold into the public market in the future, which could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing well.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could occur in the future. These sales, or the market perception that the holders of a large number of shares of our common stock intend to sell shares, could reduce the market price of our common stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. Trading of our stock may be restricted by the SEC's penny stock regulations which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. The SEC has adopted Rule 15g-9 which generally defines “penny stock” to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell to persons other than established customers and “accredited investors”. The term “accredited investor” refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000, not including any equity in that person’s or person’s spouse’s primary residence, or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer’s account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer’s confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser’s written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in and limit the marketability of our common stock.

FINRA sales practice requirements may also limit a stockholder’s ability to buy and sell our stock.

In addition to the “penny stock” rules promulgated by the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer’s financial status, tax status, investment objectives and other information. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our stock.

Any future sales of our equity securities will dilute the ownership percentage of our existing stockholders and may decrease the market price for our common stock.

Future sales or issuances of equity securities could decrease the value of our common stock, dilute stockholders’ voting power and reduce future potential earnings per share. We intend to sell additional equity securities in future offerings (including through the sale of securities convertible into shares of our common stock) and may issue additional equity securities to finance our operations, development, acquisitions or other projects. We cannot predict the size of future sales and issuances of equity securities or the effect, if any, that future sales and issuances of equity securities will have on the market price of our common stock. Sales or issuances of a substantial number of equity securities, or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock. With any additional sale or issuance of equity securities, investors will suffer dilution of their voting power and may experience dilution in our earnings per share.

Anti-takeover provisions may limit the ability of another party to acquire us, which could cause our stock price to decline.

Though not now, we may be or in the future we may become subject to Wyoming’s control share law. The law focuses on the acquisition of a “controlling interest” which means the ownership of outstanding voting shares sufficient, but for the control share law, to enable the acquiring person to exercise the following proportions of the voting power of the corporation in the election of directors: (i) one-fifth or more but less than one-third, (ii) one-third or more but less than a majority, or (iii) a majority or more. The ability to exercise such voting power may be direct or indirect, as well as individual or in association with others. The effect of the control share law is that the acquiring person, and those acting in association with it, obtains only such voting rights in the control shares as are conferred by a resolution of the stockholders of the corporation, approved at a special or annual meeting of stockholders. The control share law contemplates that voting rights will be considered only once by the other stockholders. Thus, there is no authority to strip voting rights from the control shares of an acquiring person once those rights have been approved. If the stockholders do not grant voting rights to the control shares acquired by an acquiring person, those shares do not become permanent non-voting shares. The acquiring person is free to sell its shares to others. If the buyers of those shares themselves do not acquire a controlling interest, their shares do not become governed by the control share law. If control shares are accorded full voting rights and the acquiring person has acquired control shares with a majority or more of the voting power, any stockholder of record, other than an acquiring person, who has not voted in favor of approval of voting rights is entitled to demand fair value for such stockholder’s shares.

Wyoming’s control share law may have the effect of discouraging takeovers of the corporation. In addition to the control share law, Wyoming has a business combination law which prohibits certain business combinations between Wyoming corporations and “interested stockholders” for three years after the “interested stockholder” first becomes an “interested stockholder,” unless the corporation’s board of directors approves the combination in advance. For purposes of Wyoming law, an “interested stockholder” is any person who is (i) the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of ten percent or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting shares of the corporation, or (ii) an affiliate or associate of the corporation and at any time within the three previous years was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of ten percent or more of the voting power of the then outstanding shares of the corporation. The definition of the term “business combination” is sufficiently broad to cover virtually any kind of transaction that would allow a potential acquiror to use the corporation’s assets to finance the acquisition or otherwise to benefit its own interests rather than the interests of the corporation and its other stockholders. The effect of Wyoming’s business combination law is to potentially discourage parties interested in taking control of the Company from doing so if it cannot obtain the approval of our board of directors.

In addition, our Articles of Incorporation provide for unlimited authorized shares of our Class A common stock. Our authorized but unissued shares of common stock will be available for future issuance without stockholder approval. These additional shares may be utilized for a variety of corporate purposes, including future public offerings to raise additional capital, corporate acquisitions and employee benefit plans. The existence of unlimited authorized but unissued shares of common stock could render more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of a majority of our Class A common stock by means of a proxy contest, tender offer, merger or otherwise.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish or cease publishing research or reports about us, our business or our market, or if they change their recommendations regarding our stock adversely, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts may publish about us, our business, our market or our competitors. If any of the analysts who may cover us change their recommendation regarding our stock adversely, or provide more favorable relative recommendations about our competitors, our stock price would likely decline. If any analyst who may cover us were to cease coverage of our company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES**

Other than previously reported in our current reports on Form 8-K, we did not sell any securities in transactions that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 during the three months ended December 31, 2015.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger among Helius Medical Technologies, Inc., HMT Mergersub, Inc. and NeuroHabilitation Corporation, dated June 6, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 14, 2014)
2.2**	Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and A&B (HK) Company Limited, dated as of October 9, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 16, 2015)
3.1	Articles of Continuation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 14, 2014)
3.2	Articles of Amendment filed with the Wyoming Secretary of State on July 3, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 14, 2014)
3.3	Articles of Amendment filed with the Wyoming Secretary of State on April 27, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to amendment no. 1 to the Form 10 filed on May 4, 2015)
3.4	Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 14, 2014)
3.5	First Amendment to the Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Amendment to Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 23, 2014)
3.6	Second Amendment to the Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to amendment no. 1 to the Form 10 filed on May 4, 2015)

10.1	Convertible Promissory Note between the Company and A&B (HK) Company Limited, dated as of October 9, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 16, 2015)
10.2	Modification No. 3 to the CRADA, dated December 28, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the
	Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 31, 2015)
10.3	Employment Agreement between Helius Medical Technologies, Inc. and Joyce LaViscount, dated October 19, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 16, 2016)
10.4	Employment Agreement between Helius Medical Technologies, Inc. and Brian Bapty, dated November 2, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 16, 2016)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document *
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document *
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document *
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document *
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document *
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document *

* filed herewith

** Confidential information has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to this omitted information.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HELIUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Date: April 26, 2016

By /s/ Philippe Deschamps
Philippe Deschamps
President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director

Date: April 26, 2016

By /s/ Joyce LaViscount
Joyce LaViscount
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer
of Period Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a)**

I, Philippe Deschamps, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A, Amendment No. 1 of Helius Medical Technologies, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 26, 2016

/s/ Philippe Deschamps

Philippe Deschamps

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

**Certification of Chief Financial Officer
of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a)**

I, Joyce LaViscount, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A, Amendment No. 1 of Heliuss Medical Technologies, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 26, 2016

/s/ Joyce LaViscount

Joyce LaViscount

Chief Financial Officer

**Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer
Pursuant to
18 U.S.C Section 1350**

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A Amendment No. 1 of Helius Medical Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Philippe Deschamps, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Joyce LaViscount, as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, each hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to the best of his and her knowledge:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: April 26, 2016

/s/ Philippe Deschamps

Philippe Deschamps

*President, Chief Executive Officer and a
Director*

/s/ Joyce LaViscount

Joyce LaViscount

Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Helius Medical Technologies, Inc. and will be retained by Helius Medical Technologies, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.
